

**Measurement System Identification: Not
Measurement Sensitive**



NASA TECHNICAL STANDARD

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NASA-STD-8739.8B

**Approved: 2022-09-08
Superseding NASA-STD-
8739.8A**

Software Assurance and Software Safety

**This official draft has not been approved and is subject to modification.
DO NOT USE PRIOR TO APPROVAL.**

NASA-STD-8739.8B

DOCUMENT HISTORY LOG

Status	Document Revision	Approval Date	Description
Baseline	Initial	2004-07-28	Initial Release
	1	2005-05-05	Administrative changes to the Preface; Paragraphs 1.1, 1.4, 1.5, 2.1.1, 2.2.2, 3, 5.1.2.3, 5.4.1.1; 5.6.2, 5.8.1.2, 6.7.1.a, 7.3.2, 7.3.3, 7.5, 7.5.1; Table 1; Appendix A; Appendix C to reflect NASA Transformation changes, reflect the release of NASA Procedural Requirements (NPR) 7150.2, NASA Software Engineering Requirements to make minor editorial changes. Note: Some paragraphs have changed pages as a result of these changes. Change indications identify only pages where content has changed.
	A	2020-06-10	The revised document addresses the following significant issues: combined the NASA Software Assurance Standard (NASA-STD-8739.8) with the NASA Software Safety Standard (NASA-STD-8719.13), reduction of requirements, bringing into alignment with updates to NPR 7150.2, added a section on IV&V requirements to perform IV&V, and moved guidance text to an Electronic Handbook. This change combines the updates to NASA-STD-8739.8 and the content of NASA-STD-8719.13. The update includes the NASA software safety requirements and cancels the NASA-STD-8719.13 standard.
	B	2022-09-08	Brings into alignment with the update to NPR 7150.2D. Update the Appendix A table containing the additional areas to consider when identifying software causes in Hazard Analysis.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

FOREWORD

This NASA Technical Standard is published by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) to provide uniform engineering and technical requirements for processes, procedures, practices, and methods that have been endorsed as standard for NASA facilities, programs, and projects, including requirements for selection, application, and design criteria of an item.

This standard was developed by the NASA Office of Safety and Mission Assurance (OSMA). Requests for information, corrections, or additions to this standard should be submitted to the OSMA by email to Agency-SMA-Policy-Feedback@mail.nasa.gov or via the “Email Feedback” link at <https://standards.nasa.gov>.

Russ Deloach
NASA Chief, Safety and Mission Assurance

Approval Date

NASA-STD-8739.8B

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT HISTORY LOG	2
FOREWORD.....	3
TABLE OF CONTENTS	4
LIST OF APPENDICES	4
LIST OF TABLES	4
1. SCOPE	5
1.1 Document Purpose	5
1.2 Applicability	6
1.3 Documentation and Deliverables	6
1.4 Request for Relief	6
2. APPLICABLE AND REFERENCE DOCUMENTS	7
2.1 Applicable Documents	7
2.2 Reference Documents	7
2.3 Order of Precedence.....	9
3. ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS.....	11
3.1 Acronyms and Abbreviations	11
3.2 Definitions.....	12
4. SOFTWARE ASSURANCE AND SOFTWARE SAFETY REQUIREMENTS... 19	19
4.1 Software Assurance Description.....	19
4.2 Safety-Critical Software Determination	20
4.3 Software Assurance and Software Safety Requirements.....	20
4.4 Independent Verification & Validation	52
4.5 Principles Related to Tailoring the Standard Requirements	60

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A. Guidelines for the Hazard Development involving software.....	62
---	----

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Software Assurance and Software Safety Requirements Mapping Matrix	21
Table 2. Additional considerations to consider when identifying software causes in hazard analysis.....	62

SOFTWARE ASSURANCE AND SOFTWARE SAFETY STANDARD

1. SCOPE

1.1 Document Purpose

1.1.1 The purpose of the Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard is to define the requirements to implement a systematic approach to software assurance, software safety, and Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) for software created, acquired, provided, used, or maintained by or for NASA. Various personnel in the program, project, engineering, facility, or Safety and Mission Assurance (SMA) organizations can perform the activities required to satisfy these requirements. The Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard provides a basis for personnel to perform software assurance, software safety, and IV&V activities consistently throughout the life of the software.

1.1.2 The Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard, in accordance with NPR 7150.2, NASA Software Engineering Requirements, supports the implementation of the software assurance, software safety, and IV&V sub-disciplines. The application and approach to meeting the Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard vary based on the system and software products and processes to which they are applied. The Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard stresses coordination between the software assurance sub-disciplines and system safety, system reliability, hardware quality, system security, and software engineering to maintain the system perspective and minimize duplication of effort.

1.1.3 The objectives of the Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard include the following:

a. Ensuring that the processes, procedures, and products used to produce and sustain the software conform to all specified requirements and standards that govern those processes, procedures, and products.

(1) A set of activities that assess adherence to, and the adequacy of the software processes used to develop and modify software products.

(2) A set of activities that define and assess the adequacy of software processes to provide evidence that establishes confidence that the software processes are appropriate for and produce software products of suitable quality for their intended purposes.

b. Determining the degree of software quality obtained by the software products.

c. Ensuring that the software systems are safe and that the software safety-critical requirements are followed.

d. Ensuring that the software systems are secure.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

- e. Employing rigorous analysis and testing methodologies to identify objective evidence and conclusions to provide an independent assessment of critical products and processes throughout the life cycle.

1.1.4 The Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard is compatible with all software life cycle models. The Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard does not impose a particular life cycle model on a software project.

1.1.5 In this standard, all mandatory actions (i.e., requirements) are denoted by statements containing the term “shall.” The terms “may” denote a discretionary privilege or permission; “can” denotes statements of possibility or capability; “should” denotes a good practice and is recommended; but not required, “will” denotes expected outcome; and “are/is” denotes descriptive material.

1.2 Applicability

1.2.1 This standard is approved for use by NASA Headquarters and NASA Centers, including Component Facilities and Technical and Service Support Centers. This NASA Technical Standard applies to the assurance of software created by or for NASA projects, programs, facilities, and activities and defines the requirements for those activities. This directive is applicable to the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, a Federally Funded Research and Development Center, only to the extent specified in the NASA/Caltech Prime Contract. This standard may also apply to other contractors, grant recipients, or parties to agreements to the extent specified or referenced in their contracts, grants, or agreements.

1.3 Documentation and Deliverables

1.3.1 The Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard is not intended to designate the format of program/project/facility documentation and deliverables. The software assurance and software safety data, information, and plans may be considered to be quality records with a retention period as specified in NRRS 1441.1. The format of the documentation is a program/project/facility decision. The software assurance and software safety organizations should keep records, reports, metrics, analyses, and trending results and should keep copies of their project plans for future reference and improvements. The software assurance and software safety plans (e.g., the Software Assurance Plan) can be standalone documents or incorporated within other documents (e.g., part of a Software Management Plan, a Software Development Plan or part of a Program or Project Safety and Mission Assurance (SMA) plan).

1.4 Request for Relief

1.4.1 Tailoring of this standard for application to a specific program or project is documented as part of program or project requirements and approved by the responsible Center Technical Authority (TA) in accordance with NPR 8715.3, NASA General Safety Program Requirements. Section 4.5 of this standard contains the principles related to tailoring this standard’s requirements.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

2. APPLICABLE AND REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

2.1 Applicable Documents

The applicable documents are accessible via the NASA Technical Standards System at <https://standards.nasa.gov>, or the NASA Online Directives Information System https://nodis3.gsfc.nasa.gov/main_lib.cfm or may be obtained directly from the Standards Developing Organizations.

NPR 1400.1	NASA Directives and Charters Procedural Requirements
NPR 7120.5	NASA Space Flight Program and Project Management Requirements
NPR 7120.10	Technical Standards for NASA Programs and Projects
NPR 7150.2	NASA Software Engineering Requirements
NPR 8000.4	Agency Risk Management Procedural Requirements
NPR 8715.3	NASA General Safety Program Requirements
NASA-HDBK-2203	NASA Software Engineering Handbook
NASA-HDBK-4008	Programmable Logic Devices Handbook
NRRS 1441.1	NASA Records Retention Schedules

2.2 Reference Documents

The reference documents listed in this section are not incorporated by reference within this standard but may provide further clarification and guidance.

2.2.1 Government Documents

NPD 2810.1	NASA Information Security Policy
NPD 8720.1	NASA Reliability and Maintainability Program Policy
NPR 1441.1	NASA Records Management Program Requirements
NPR 2210.1	Release of NASA Software
NPR 2810.1	Security of Information and Information Systems
NPR 2830.1	NASA Enterprise Architecture Procedures
NPR 2841.1	Identity, Credential, and Access Management

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7120.7	NASA Information Technology Program and Project Management Requirements
NPR 7120.8	NASA Research and Technology Program and Project Management Requirements
NPR 7120.10	Technical Standards for NASA Programs and Projects
NPR 7120.11	Health and Medical Technical Authority Implementation
NPR 7123.1	NASA Systems Engineering Processes and Requirements.
NPR 8000.4	Agency Risk Management Procedural Requirements
NPR 7123.1	NASA Systems Engineering Processes and Requirements
NASA-STD-1006	Space System Protection Standard
NASA-STD-2601	Minimum Cybersecurity Requirements for Computing Systems
NASA-STD-7009	Standard for Models and Simulations
NASA-STD-8729.1	NASA Reliability And Maintainability Standard For Spaceflight And Support Systems
NASA-HDBK-7009	NASA Handbook for Models and Simulations: An Implementation Guide for NASA-STD-7009
NASA-HDBK-8709.22	Safety and Mission Assurance Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Definitions
NASA-HDBK-8739.23	NASA Complex Electronics Handbook for Assurance Professionals
NIST SP 800-37	Risk Management Framework
NIST SP 800-40	Guide to Enterprise Patch Management Planning: Preventive Maintenance for Technology
NIST SP 800-53	Security and Privacy Controls for Information Systems and Organizations
NIST SP 800-70	National Checklist Program for Information Technology products: Guidelines for Checklist Users and Developers
NIST SP 800-115	Technical Guide to Information Security Testing and Assessment

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NFS 1813.301-79 Supporting Federal Policies, Regulations, and NASA Procedural Requirements

NFS 1852.237-72 Access to Sensitive Information

NFS 1852.237-73 Release of Sensitive Information

2.2.2 Non-Government Documents

CMMI-DEV, V2.0 CMMI® for Development, Version 2.0

IEEE 730 Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Standard for Software Quality Assurance Processes

IEEE 828 IEEE Standard for Configuration Management in Systems and Software Engineering.

IEEE 982.1 IEEE Standard Measures of the Software Aspects of Dependability

IEEE 1012 IEEE Standard for System, Software, and Hardware Verification and Validation

IEEE 1028 IEEE Standard for Software Reviews and Audits

IEEE 1633 IEEE Recommended Practice on Software Reliability

IEEE 15026-1 Systems and software engineering--Systems and software assurance--Part 1: Concepts and vocabulary

IEEE 29119-4 Software and systems engineering -- Software testing -- Part 4: Test techniques

ISO 26514 Systems and software engineering--requirements for designers and developers of user documentation

ISO 24765 System and Software Engineering – Vocabulary

2.3 Order of Precedence

2.3.1 This standard establishes requirements to implement a systematic approach to Software Assurance, Software Safety, and IV&V for software created, acquired, provided, or maintained by or for NASA but does not supersede nor waive established Agency requirements found in other documentation.

2.3.2 Conflicts between the Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard and other requirements documents are resolved by the responsible SMA and engineering TA(s), per NPR

NASA-STD-8739.8B

1400.1, NASA Directives and Charters Procedural Requirements, and NPR 7120.10, Technical Standards for NASA Programs and Projects.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

3. ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

3.1 Acronyms and Abbreviations

CMMI®	Capability Maturity Model Integration
COTS	Commercial-Off-The-Shelf
GOTS	Government-Off-The-Shelf
HDBK	Handbook
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
IPEP	IV&V Project Execution Plan
IV&V	Independent Verification and Validation
MC/DC	Modified Condition/Decision Coverage
MOTS	Modified-Off-The-Shelf
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NPD	NASA Policy Directive
NPR	NASA Procedural Requirements
NRRS	NASA Records Retention Schedule
OSMA	NASA Headquarters Office, Safety and Mission Assurance
OSS	Open Source Software
PLC	Programmable Logic Controller
PROM	Programmable Read-Only Memory
RTOS	Real-Time Operating System
SMA	Safety and Mission Assurance
SP	Special Publication
SWE	Software Engineering
TA	Technical Authority

3.2 Definitions

Accredit. The official acceptance of a software development tool, model, or simulation, including associated data, to use for a specific purpose.

Acquirer. The entity or individual who specifies the requirements and accepts the resulting software products. The Acquirer is usually NASA or an organization within the Agency but can also refer to the prime contractor-subcontractor relationship.

Analyze. Review results in-depth, look at relationships of activities, examine methodologies in detail, and follow methodologies such as Failure Mode and Effects Analysis, Fault Tree Analysis, trending, and metrics analysis. Examine processes, plans, products, and task lists for completeness, consistency, accuracy, reasonableness, and compliance with requirements. The analysis may include identifying missing, incomplete, or inaccurate products, relationships, deliverables, activities, required actions, etc.

Approve. When the responsible originating official, or designated decision authority, of a document, report, condition, etc., has agreed, via their signature, to the content and indicates the document is ready for release, baselining, distribution, etc. Usually, one “approver” and several stakeholders need to “concur” for official acceptance of a document, report, etc. For example, the project manager would approve the Software Development Plan, but SMA would concur on it.

Assess. Judge results against plans or work product requirements. Assess includes judging for practicality, timeliness, correctness, completeness, compliance, evaluation of rationale, etc., reviewing activities performed, and independently tracking corrective actions to closure.

Assure. When software assurance personnel make certain that others have performed the specified software assurance, management, and engineering activities.

Audit. Formal review to assess compliance with hardware or software requirements, specifications, baselines, safety standards, procedures, instructions, codes, and contractual and licensing requirements. (Source NPR 8715.3)

Bi-directional Traceability. Association among two or more logical entities that are discernible in either direction (to and from an entity). (Source IEEE Definition)

Concur. A documented agreement that a proposed course of action is acceptable.

Condition. (1) measurable qualitative or quantitative attribute that is stipulated for a requirement and that indicates a circumstance or event under which a requirement applies (2) description of a contingency to be considered in the representation of a problem, or a reference to other procedures to be considered as part of the condition (3) true or false logical predicate (4) logical predicate involving one or more behavior model elements (5) Boolean expression containing no Boolean operators.

Configuration Item. (1) item or aggregation of hardware, software, or both that is designated for configuration management and treated as a single entity in the configuration management process (2) component of an infrastructure or an item which is or will be under control of

NASA-STD-8739.8B

configuration management (3) aggregation of work products that is designated for configuration management and treated as a single entity in the configuration management process (4) any system element or aggregation of system elements that satisfies an end use function and is designated by the acquirer for separate configuration control (5) item or aggregation of software that is designed to be managed as a single entity and its underlying components, such as documentation, data structures, scripts. (Source IEEE Definition)

Note: Configuration items can vary widely in complexity, size, and type, ranging from an entire system including all hardware, software, and documentation, to a single module or a minor hardware component. CIs have four common characteristics: defined functionality; replaceable as an entity; unique specification; formal control of form, fit, and function. See Also: hardware configuration item, computer software configuration item, configuration identification, and critical item.

Confirm. Check to see that activities specified in the software engineering requirements are adequately done and evidence of the activities exists as proof. Confirm includes ensuring activities are done completely and correctly and have expected content according to approved tailoring.

Critical. A condition that may cause severe injury or occupational illness, or major property damage to facilities, systems, or flight hardware.

Deliverable. Product or item that has to be completed and delivered under the terms of an agreement or contract. Products may also be deliverables, e.g., software requirements specifications, and detailed design documents.

Develop. To produce or create a product or document and mature or advance the product or document content.

Ensure. When software assurance or software safety personnel perform the specified software assurance and software safety activities themselves.

Event. (1) occurrence of a particular set of circumstances (2) external or internal stimulus used for synchronization purposes (3) change detectable by the subject software (4) fact that an action has taken place (5) singular moment in time at which some perceptible phenomenological change (energy, matter, or information) occurs at the port of a unit.

Failure. Inability of a system, subsystem, component, or part to perform its required function within specified limits. (Source NPR 8715.3)

Hazard. A state or a set of conditions, internal or external to a system that has the potential to cause harm. (Source NPR 8715.3)

Hazard Analysis. Identifying and evaluating existing and potential hazards and the recommended mitigation for the hazard sources found.

Hazard Control. Means of reducing the risk of exposure to a hazard. (Source NPR 8715.3)

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Hazardous Operation/Work Activity. Any operation or other work activity that, without the implementation of proper mitigations, has a high potential to result in loss of life, serious injury to personnel or public, or damage to property due to the material or equipment involved or the nature of the operation/activity itself.

Independent Verification and Validation. Verification and validation performed by an organization that is technically, managerially, and financially independent of the development organization. (Source IEEE Definition)

Inhibit. Design feature that prevents the operation of a function.

Insight. An element of Government surveillance that monitors contractor compliance using Government-identified metrics and contracted milestones. Insight is a continuum that can range from low intensity such as reviewing quarterly reports to high intensity such as performing surveys and reviews. (Source NPR 7123.1)

Maintain. To continue to have; to keep in existence, to stay up-to-date and correct.

Mission Critical. [1] Item or function that must retain its operational capability to assure no mission failure (i.e., for mission success). [2] An item or function, the failure of which may result in the inability to retain operational capability for mission continuation if corrective action is not successfully performed. (Source NASA-STD-8729.1)

Mission Success. Meeting all mission objectives and requirements for performance and safety. (Source NPR 8715.3)

Monitor. (1) software tool or hardware device that operates concurrently with a system or component and supervises, records, analyzes, or verifies the operation of the system or component; (2) collect project performance data with respect to a plan, process, produce performance measures, and report and disseminate performance information.

Participate. To be a part of the activity, audit, review, meeting, or assessment.

Perform. Software assurance does the action specified. Perform may include making comparisons of independent results with similar activities performed by engineering; performing audits; and reporting results to engineering.

Product. A result of a physical, analytical, or another process. The item delivered to the customer (e.g., hardware, software, test reports, data) and the processes (e.g., system engineering, design, test, logistics) that make the product possible. (Source NASA-HDBK-8709.22)

Program. A strategic investment by a Mission Directorate or Mission Support Office that has a defined architecture and technical approach, requirements, funding level, and management structure that initiates and directs one or more projects. A program implements a strategic direction that the Agency has identified as needed to accomplish Agency goals and objectives. (Source NPR 7120.5)

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Program Manager. A generic term for the person who is formally assigned to be in charge of the program. A program manager could be designated as a program lead, program director, or some other term, as defined in the program's governing document. A program manager is responsible for the formulation and implementation of the program, per the governing document with the sponsoring MDAA.

Project. A specific investment having defined goals, objectives, requirements, life cycle cost, a beginning, and an end. A project yields new or revised products or services that directly address NASA's strategic needs. They may be performed wholly in-house; by Government, industry, academia partnerships; or through contracts with private industry. (Source NPR 7150.2)

Project Manager. The entity or individual who accepts the resulting software products. Project managers are responsible and accountable for the safe conduct and successful outcome of their program or project in conformance with governing programmatic requirements. The project manager is usually NASA but can also refer to the prime contractor-subcontractor relationship as well.

Provider. A Provider is a NASA or contractor organization that is tasked by an accountable organization (i.e., the Acquirer) to produce a product or service. (Source NASA-HDBK-8709.22)

Regression testing. (1) selective retesting of a system or component to verify that modifications have not caused unintended effects and that the system or component still complies with its specified requirements (2) testing following modifications to a test item or its operational environment, to identify whether regression failures occur. (Source IEEE Definition)

Risk. The combination of (1) the probability (qualitative or quantitative) of experiencing an undesired event, (2) the consequences, impact, or severity that would occur if the undesired event were to occur, and (3) the uncertainties associated with the probability and consequences. (Source NPR 8715.3)

Note: A risk is an uncertain future event, or combination of events, that could threaten the achievement of performance objectives or requirements. A "problem," on the other hand, describes an issue that is certain or near certain to exist now, or an event that has been determined with certainty or near certainty to have occurred and is threatening the achievement of an objective or requirement. It is generally at the discretion of the decision authority to define at what level of certainty (i.e., likelihood) an event may be classified and addressed as a "problem" rather than as a "risk." A risk may be conditional upon a problem, i.e., an existing issue may or may not develop into performance-objective consequences or the extent to which it may be at present uncertain.

Risk Posture. A characterization of risk based on conditions (e.g., criticality, complexity, environments, performance, cost, schedule) and a set of identified risks, taken as a whole which allows an understanding of the overall risk or provides a target risk range or level, which can then be used to support decisions being made.

Safe State. A system state in which hazards are inhibited, and all hazardous actuators are in a non-hazardous state. The system can have more than one Safe State.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Safety. Freedom from those conditions that can cause death, injury, occupational illness, damage to or loss of equipment or property, or damage to the environment. In a risk-informed context, safety is an overall mission and program condition that provides sufficient assurance that accidents will not result from the mission execution or program implementation, or, if they occur, their consequences will be mitigated. This assurance is established by means of the satisfaction of a combination of deterministic criteria and risk criteria. (Source NPR 8715.3)

Safety Analysis. Generic term for a family of analyses, which includes but is not limited to, preliminary hazard analysis, system (subsystem) hazard analysis, operating hazard analysis, software hazard analysis, sneak circuit, and others. Software safety analysis consists of a number of tools and techniques to identify safety risks and formulate effective controls. These techniques are used to help identify the hazards during the Hazard Analysis process, which in turn identifies the safety-critical software. The Safety Analysis techniques often used to support the Hazard Analysis are the Software Fault Tree Analysis and the Software Failure Modes and Effects Analysis. The Software Fault Tree Analysis and the Software Failure Modes and Effects Analysis are used to help identify hazards, hazard causes, and potential failure modes.

Safety-Critical. A term describing any condition, event, operation, process, equipment, or system that could cause or lead to severe injury, major damage, or mission failure if performed or built improperly or allowed to remain uncorrected. (Source NPR 8715.3)

Safety-Critical Software. Software is classified as safety-critical if the software is determined by and traceable to a hazard analysis. Software is classified as safety-critical if it meets at least one of the following criteria:

- a. Causes or contributes to a system hazardous condition/event,
- b. Controls functions identified in a system hazard,
- c. Provides mitigation for a system hazardous condition/event,
- d. Mitigates damage if a hazardous condition/event occurs,
- e. Detects, reports, and takes corrective action if the system reaches a potentially hazardous state.

Software. defined as (1) computer programs, procedures, and associated documentation and data pertaining to the operation of a computer system (2) all or a part of the programs, procedures, rules, and associated documentation of an information processing (3) program or set of programs used to run a computer (4) all or part of the programs which process or support the processing of digital information (5) part of a product that is the computer program or the set of computer programs. This definition applies to software developed by NASA, software developed for NASA, software maintained by or for NASA, Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS), Government-Off-The-Shelf (GOTS), Modified-Off-The-Shelf (MOTS), Open Source Software (OSS), reused software components, auto-generated code, embedded software, the software executed on processors embedded in programmable logic devices (see NASA-HDBK-4008), legacy, heritage, applications, freeware, shareware, trial or demonstration software, and OSS components. (Source NPR 7150.2)

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Software Assurance. (1) a set of activities that assess adherence to, and the adequacy of the software processes used to develop and modify software products. Software assurance also determines the degree to which the desired results from software quality control are being obtained. (2) set of activities that define and assess the adequacy of software processes to provide evidence that establishes confidence that the software processes are appropriate for and produce software products of suitable quality for their intended purposes. (Source IEEE Definition)

Note: A key attribute of software assurance is the objectivity of the software assurance function with respect to the project.

Software Developer. A person, organization, or system that develops software based on program/project requirements.

Software Life Cycle. The period that begins when a software product is conceived and ends when the software is no longer available for use. The software life cycle typically includes a concept phase, requirements phase, design phase, implementation phase, test phase, installation and checkout phase, operation and maintenance phase, and sometimes, retirement phase.

Software Peer Review. An examination of a software product to detect and identify software anomalies, including errors and deviations from standards and specifications. (Source IEEE Definition)

Software Safety. The aspects of software engineering, system safety, and software assurance, that provide a systematic approach to identifying, analyzing, tracking, mitigating, and controlling hazards and hazardous functions of a system where software may contribute either to the hazard(s) or to its detection, mitigation or control, to ensure safe operation of the system.

Software Validation. (1)confirmation, through the provision of objective evidence, that the requirements for a specific intended use or application have been fulfilled (2) process of providing evidence that the system, software, or hardware and its associated products satisfy requirements allocated to it at the end of each life cycle activity, solve the right problem (e.g., correctly model physical laws, implement business rules, and use the proper system assumptions), and satisfy intended use and user needs (3) the assurance that a product, service, or system meets the needs of the customer and other identified stakeholders (4) process of evaluating a system or component during or at the end of the development process to determine whether it satisfies specified requirements (5) confirmation in a timely manner, through automated techniques where possible, through the provision of objective evidence, that the requirements for a specific intended use or application have been fulfilled. (Source IEEE Definition)

Note: Validation in a system life cycle context is the set of activities ensuring and gaining confidence that a system is able to accomplish its intended use, goals, and objectives (meet stakeholder requirements) in the intended operational environment. The right system has been built or is operating to meet business objectives. Validation demonstrates that the system can be used by the users for their specific tasks. "Validated" is used to designate the corresponding status. Multiple Validation can be carried out if there are different intended uses.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Software Verification. Confirmation that products properly reflect the requirements specified for them. In other words, verification ensures that “you built it right.” (Source IEEE Definition)

Supplier. Any organization which provides a product or service to a customer. By this definition, suppliers may include vendors, subcontractors, contractors, flight programs/projects, and the NASA organization supplying science data to a principal investigator. The classical definition of a supplier is a subcontractor, at any tier, performing contract services or producing the contract articles for a contractor. (Source NASA-HDBK-8709.22)

System Safety. Application of engineering and management principles, criteria, and techniques to optimize safety and reduce risks within the constraints of operational effectiveness, time, and cost.

Tailoring. The process used to adjust a prescribed requirement to accommodate the needs of a specific task or activity (e.g., program or project). Tailoring may result in changes, subtractions, or additions to a typical implementation of the requirement. (Source NPR 7150.2)

Track. To follow and note the course or progress of the product.

4. SOFTWARE ASSURANCE AND SOFTWARE SAFETY REQUIREMENTS

4.1 Software Assurance Description

4.1.1 The Software Assurance activities provide a level of confidence that software is free from vulnerabilities, either intentionally designed into the software or accidentally inserted at any time during its life cycle, that the software functions in an intended manner, and that the software does not function in an unintended manner. The objectives of the Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard include the following:

- a. Ensuring that the processes, procedures, and products used to produce and sustain the software conform to all specified requirements and standards that govern those processes, procedures, and products.
 - (a) A set of activities that assess adherence to, and the adequacy of the software processes used to develop and modify software products.
 - (b) A set of activities that define and assess the adequacy of software processes to provide evidence that establishes confidence that the software processes are appropriate for and produce software products of suitable quality for their intended purposes.
- b. Determining the degree of software quality obtained by the software products.
- c. Ensuring that the software systems are safe and that the software safety-critical requirements are followed.
- d. Ensuring that the software systems are secure.
- e. Employing rigorous analysis and testing methodologies to identify objective evidence and conclusions to provide an independent assessment of critical products and processes throughout the life cycle.

4.1.2 Project and SMA Management support of the software assurance function is essential for software assurance, software safety, and IV&V processes to be effective. The software assurance, software safety, and IV&V support include the following:

- a. The Project and SMA Management are familiar with and understand the software assurance, software safety, and IV&V function's purposes, concepts, practices, and needs.
- b. The Project and SMA Management provide the software assurance, software safety, and IV&V activities with skilled resources (people, equipment, knowledge, methods, facilities, and tools) to accomplish their project responsibilities.
- c. The Project and SMA Management act upon information provided by the software assurance, software safety, and IV&V function throughout a project.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

4.1.3 The Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard's requirements apply to organizations in their roles as both Acquirers and Providers.

4.2 Safety-Critical Software Determination

4.2.1 Software is classified as safety-critical if the software is determined by and traceable to a hazard analysis. Software is classified as safety-critical if it meets at least one of the following criteria:

- a. Causes or contributes to a system hazardous condition/event,
- b. Controls functions identified in a system hazard,
- c. Provides mitigation for a system hazardous condition/event,
- d. Mitigates damage if a hazardous condition/event occurs,
- e. Detects, reports, and takes corrective action if the system reaches a potentially hazardous state.

Note: See Appendix A for guidelines associated with addressing software in hazard definitions. See Table 1, 3.7.1, SWE-205 for more details. Consideration for other independent means of protection (software, hardware, barriers, or administrative) should be a part of the system hazard definition process.

4.3 Software Assurance and Software Safety Requirements

4.3.1 The responsible project manager shall ensure the performance of the software assurance, software safety, and IV&V activities, the applicable requirements are defined in Table 1. In this document, the phrase "Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks" means that the roles and responsibilities for completing these requirements may be delegated within the project consistent with the scope and scale of the project. The Center SMA Director designates SMA TA(s) for programs, facilities, and projects, providing direction, functional oversight, and assessment for all Agency software assurance, software safety, and IV&V activities.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Table 1. Software Assurance and Software Safety Requirements Mapping Matrix

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
3		Software Management Requirements	
3.1		Software Life Cycle Planning	
3.1.2	033	<p>The project manager shall assess options for software acquisition versus development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Acquire an off-the-shelf software product that satisfies the requirement. b. Develop a software product or obtain the software service internally. c. Develop the software product or obtain the software service through contract. d. Enhance an existing software product or service. e. Reuse an existing software product or service. f. Source code available external to NASA. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the options for software acquisition versus development have been evaluated. 2. Confirm the flow down of applicable software engineering, software assurance, and software safety requirements on all acquisition activities. (NPR 7150.2 and NASA-STD-8739.8). 3. Assess any risks with acquisition versus development decision(s).
3.1.3	013	<p>The project manager shall develop, maintain, and execute software plans, including security plans, that cover the entire software life cycle and, as a minimum, address the requirements of this directive with approved tailoring.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that all plans, including security plans, are in place and have expected content for the life cycle events, with proper tailoring for the classification of the software. 2. Develop and maintain a Software Assurance Plan following the content defined in NASA-HDBK-2203 for a software assurance plan, including software safety.
3.1.4	024	<p>The project manager shall track the actual results and performance of software activities against the software plans.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Corrective actions are taken, recorded, and managed to closure. b. Changes to commitments (e.g., software plans) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Assess plans for compliance with NPR 7150.2 requirements, NASA-STD-8739.8, including changes to commitments. 2. Confirm that closure of corrective actions associated with the performance of software activities

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
		that have been agreed to by the affected groups and individuals are taken, recorded, and managed.	against the software plans, including closure rationale. 3. Confirm changes to commitments are recorded and managed.
3.1.5	034	The project manager shall define and document the acceptance criteria for the software.	1. Confirm software acceptance criteria are defined and assess the criteria based on guidance in the NASA Software Engineering Handbook, NASA-HDBK-2203.
3.1.6	036	The project manager shall establish and maintain the software processes, software documentation plans, list of developed electronic products, deliverables, and list of tasks for the software development that are required for the project's software developers, as well as the action required (e.g., approval, review) of the Government upon receipt of each of the deliverables.	1. Confirm the following are approved, implemented, and updated per requirements: a. Software processes, including software assurance, software safety, and IV&V processes, b. Software documentation plans, c. List of developed electronic products, deliverables, and d. List of tasks required or needed for the project's software development. 2. Confirm that any required government actions are established and performed upon receipt of deliverables (e.g., approvals, reviews).
3.1.7	037	The project manager shall define and document the milestones at which the software developer(s) progress will be reviewed and audited.	1. Confirm that milestones for reviewing and auditing software developer progress are defined and documented. 2. Participate in project milestones reviews.
3.1.8	039	The project manager shall require the software developer(s) to periodically report status and provide insight into software development and test activities; at a minimum, the software developer(s) will be required to allow the project manager and software assurance personnel to:	1. Confirm that software developer(s) periodically report status and provide insight to the project manager. 2. Monitor product integration. 3. Analyze the verification activities to ensure adequacy.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
		<p>a. Monitor product integration.</p> <p>b. Review the verification activities to ensure adequacy.</p> <p>c. Review trade studies and source data.</p> <p>d. Audit the software development processes and practices.</p> <p>e. Participate in software reviews and technical interchange meetings.</p>	<p>4. Assess trade studies, source data, software reviews, and technical interchange meetings.</p> <p>5. Perform audits on software development processes and practices at least once every two years.</p> <p>6. Develop and provide status reports.</p> <p>7. Develop and maintain a list of all software assurance review discrepancies, risks, issues, findings, and concerns.</p> <p>8. Confirm that the project manager provides responses to software assurance and software safety submitted issues, findings, and risks and that the project manager tracks software assurance and software safety issues, findings, and risks to closure.</p>
3.1.9	040	The project manager shall require the software developer(s) to provide NASA with software products, traceability, software change tracking information and nonconformances, in electronic format, including software development and management metrics.	1. Confirm that software artifacts are available in electronic format to NASA.
3.1.10	042	The project manager shall require the software developer(s) to provide NASA with electronic access to the source code developed for the project in a modifiable format.	1. Confirm that software developers provide NASA with electronic access to the source code generated for the project in a modifiable form.
3.1.11	139	The project manager shall comply with the requirements in this NPR that are marked with an "X" in Appendix C consistent with their software classification.	1. Assess that the project's software requirements, products, procedures, and processes are compliant with the NPR 7150.2 requirements per the software classification and safety criticality for software.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
3.1.12	121	Where approved, the project manager shall document and reflect the tailored requirement in the plans or procedures controlling the development, acquisition, and deployment of the affected software.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that any requirement tailoring in the Requirements Mapping Matrix has the required approvals. 2. Develop a tailoring matrix of software assurance and software safety requirements.
3.1.13	125	Each project manager with software components shall maintain a requirements mapping matrix or multiple requirements mapping matrices against requirements in this NPR, including those delegated to other parties or accomplished by contract vehicles or Space Act Agreements.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project maintains a requirements mapping matrix (matrices) for all requirements in NPR 7150.2. 2. Maintain the requirements mapping matrix (matrices) for requirements in NASA-STD-8739.8.
3.1.14	027	<p>The project manager shall satisfy the following conditions when a COTS, GOTS, MOTS, OSS, or reused software component is acquired or used:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The requirements to be met by the software component are identified. b. The software component includes documentation to fulfill its intended purpose (e.g., usage instructions). c. Proprietary rights, usage rights, ownership, warranty, licensing rights, transfer rights, and conditions of use (e.g., required copyright, author, and applicable license notices within the software code, or a requirement to redistribute the licensed software only under the same license (e.g., GNU GPL, ver. 3, license)) have been addressed and coordinated with Center Intellectual Property Counsel. d. Future support for the software product is planned and adequate for project needs. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the conditions listed in "a" through "f" are complete for any COTS, GOTS, MOTS, OSS, or reused software that is acquired or used.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
		<p>e. The software component is verified and validated to the same level required to accept a similar developed software component for its intended use.</p> <p>f. The project has a plan to perform periodic assessments of vendor reported defects to ensure the defects do not impact the selected software components.</p>	
3.2		Software Cost Estimation	
3.2.1	015	<p>To better estimate the cost of development, the project manager shall establish, document, and maintain:</p> <p>a. Two cost estimate models and associated cost parameters for all Class A and B software projects that have an estimated project cost of \$2 million or more.</p> <p>b. One software cost estimate model and associated cost parameter(s) for all Class A and Class B software projects that have an estimated project cost of less than \$2 million.</p> <p>c. One software cost estimate model and associated cost parameter(s) for all Class C and Class D software projects.</p> <p>d. One software cost estimate model and associated cost parameter(s) for all Class F software projects.</p>	<p>1. Confirm that the required number of software cost estimates are complete and include software assurance cost estimate(s) for the project, including a cost estimate associated with handling safety-critical software and safety-critical data.</p>

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
3.2.2	151	<p>The project manager's software cost estimate(s) shall satisfy the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Covers the entire software life cycle. b. Is based on selected project attributes (e.g., programmatic assumptions/constraints, assessment of the size, functionality, complexity, criticality, reuse code, modified code, and risk of the software processes and products). c. Is based on the cost implications of the technology to be used and the required maturation of that technology. d. Incorporates risk and uncertainty, including end state risk and threat assessments for cybersecurity. e. Includes the cost of the required software assurance support. f. Includes other direct costs. 	<p>1. Assess the project's software cost estimate(s) to determine if the stated criteria listed in "a" through "f" are satisfied.</p>
3.2.3	174	<p>The project manager shall submit software planning parameters, including size and effort estimates, milestones, and characteristics, to the Center measurement repository at the conclusion of major milestones.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that all the software planning parameters, including size and effort estimates, milestones, and characteristics, are submitted to a Center repository. 2. Confirm that all software assurance and software safety software estimates and planning parameters are submitted to an organizational repository.
3.3		Software Schedules	

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
3.3.1	016	<p>The project manager shall document and maintain a software schedule that satisfies the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Coordinates with the overall project schedule. b. Documents the interactions of milestones and deliverables between software, hardware, operations, and the rest of the system. c. Reflects the critical dependencies for software development activities. d. Identifies and accounts for dependencies with other projects and cross-program dependencies. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Assess that the software schedule satisfies the conditions in the requirement. 2. Develop a software assurance schedule, including software assurance products, audits, reporting, and reviews.
3.3.2	018	<p>The project manager shall regularly hold reviews of software schedule activities, status, performance metrics, and assessment/analysis results with the project stakeholders and track issues to resolution.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm the generation and distribution of periodic reports on software schedule activities, metrics, and status, including reports of software assurance and software safety schedule activities, metrics, and status. 2. Confirm closure of any project software schedule issues.
3.3.3	046	<p>The project manager shall require the software developer(s) to provide a software schedule for the project's review, and schedule updates as requested.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm the project's schedules, including the software assurance's/software safety's schedules, are updated.
3.4		Software Training	
3.4.1	017	<p>The project manager shall plan, track, and ensure project specific software training for project personnel.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that any project-specific software training has been planned, tracked, and completed for project personnel, including software assurance and software safety personnel. 2. Confirm that software assurance and software safety personnel have completed the appropriate

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
			software assurance and/or software safety training to satisfactorily conduct assurance and safety activities.
3.5		Software Classification Assessments	
3.5.1	020	The project manager shall classify each system and subsystem containing software in accordance with the highest applicable software classification definitions for Classes A, B, C, D, E, and F software in Appendix D.	1. Perform a software classification or concur with the engineering software classification of software per the descriptions in NPR 7150.2.
3.5.2	176	The project manager shall maintain records of each software classification determination, each software Requirements Mapping Matrix, and the results of each software independent classification assessments for the life of the project.	1. Confirm that records of the software Requirements Mapping Matrix and each software classification are maintained and updated for the life of the project.
3.6		Software Assurance and Software Independent Verification & Validation	
3.6.1	022	The project manager shall plan and implement software assurance, software safety and IV&V (if required) per NASA-STD-8739.8, Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard.	1. Perform software assurance, software safety, and IV&V (if required) according to the software assurance and software safety standard requirements in NASA-STD-8739.8, Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard, and the Project's software assurance plan.
3.6.2	141	For projects reaching Key Decision Point A, the program manager shall ensure that software IV&V is performed on the following categories of projects: a. Category 1 projects as defined in NPR 7120.5. b. Category 2 projects as defined in NPR 7120.5 that have Class A or Class B payload risk	1. Confirm that IV&V requirements (section 4.4) are complete on projects required to have IV&V.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
		classification per NPR 8705.4, Risk Classification for NASA Payloads. c. Projects selected explicitly by the Mission Directorate Associate Administrator to have software IV&V.	
3.6.3	131	If software IV&V is required for a project, the project manager, in consultation with NASA IV&V, shall ensure an IPEP is developed, approved, maintained, and executed in accordance with IV&V requirements in NASA-STD-8739.8.	1. Confirm that the IV&V Project Execution Plan (IPEP) exists.
3.6.4	178	If software IV&V is performed on a project, the project manager shall ensure that IV&V is provided access to development artifacts, products, source code, and data required to perform the IV&V analysis efficiently and effectively.	1. Confirm that IV&V has access to the software development artifacts, products, source code, and data required to perform the IV&V analysis efficiently and effectively.
3.6.5	179	If software IV&V is performed on a project, the project manager shall provide responses to IV&V submitted issues and risks, and track these issues and risks to closure.	1. Confirm that the project manager responds to IV&V submitted issues, findings, and risks and that the project manager tracks IV&V issues, findings, and risks to closure.
3.7		Safety-Critical and Mission-Critical Software	
3.7.1	205	The project manager, in conjunction with the SMA organization, shall determine if each software component is considered to be safety-critical per the criteria defined in NASA-STD-8739.8.	1. Confirm that the hazard reports or safety data packages contain all known software contributions or events where software, either by its action, inaction, or incorrect action, leads to a hazard. 2. Assess that the hazard reports identify the software components associated with the system hazards per the criteria defined in NASA-STD-8739.8, Appendix A.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
			<p>3. Assess that hazard analyses (including hazard reports) identify the software components associated with the system hazards per the criteria defined in NASA-STD-8739.8, Appendix A.</p> <p>4. Confirm that the traceability between software requirements and hazards with software contributions exists.</p> <p>5. Develop and maintain a software safety analysis throughout the software development life cycle.</p>
3.7.2	023	If a project has safety-critical software, the project manager shall implement the safety-critical software requirements contained in NASA-STD-8739.8.	1. Confirm that the identified safety-critical software components and data have implemented the safety-critical software assurance requirements listed in this standard.
3.7.3	134	<p>If a project has safety-critical software or mission-critical software, the project manager shall implement the following items in the software:</p> <p>a. The software is initialized, at first start and restarts, to a known safe state.</p> <p>b. The software safely transitions between all predefined known states.</p> <p>c. Termination performed by software functions is performed to a known safe state.</p> <p>d. Operator overrides of software functions require at least two independent actions by an operator.</p> <p>e. Software rejects commands received out of sequence when execution of those commands out of sequence can cause a hazard.</p> <p>f. The software detects inadvertent memory</p>	<p>1. Analyze the software requirements and the software design and work with the project to implement NPR 7150.2 requirement items "a" through "l."</p> <p>2. Assess that the source code satisfies the conditions in the NPR 7150.2 requirement "a" through "l" for safety-critical and mission-critical software at each code inspection, test review, safety review, and project review milestone.</p> <p>3. Confirm that the values of the safety-critical loaded data, uplinked data, rules, and scripts that affect hazardous system behavior have been tested.</p> <p>4. Analyze the software design to ensure the following:</p> <p>a. Use of partitioning or isolation methods in the design and code,</p>

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
		<p>modification and recovers to a known safe state.</p> <p>g. The software performs integrity checks on inputs and outputs to/from the software system.</p> <p>h. The software performs prerequisite checks prior to the execution of safety-critical software commands.</p> <p>i. No single software event or action is allowed to initiate an identified hazard.</p> <p>j. The software responds to an off-nominal condition within the time needed to prevent a hazardous event.</p> <p>k. The software provides error handling.</p> <p>l. The software can place the system into a safe state.</p>	<p>b. That the design logically isolates the safety-critical design elements and data from those that are non-safety-critical.</p> <p>5. Participate in software reviews affecting safety-critical software products.</p> <p>6. Ensure the SWE-134 implementation supports and is consistent with the system hazard analysis.</p>
3.7.4	219	<p>If a project has safety-critical software, the project manager shall ensure that there is 100 percent code test coverage using the Modified Condition/Decision Coverage (MC/DC) criterion for all identified safety-critical software components.</p>	<p>1. Confirm that 100% code test coverage is addressed for all identified safety-critical software components or that software developers provide a technically acceptable rationale or a risk assessment explaining why the test coverage is not possible or why the risk does not justify the cost of increasing coverage for the safety-critical code component.</p>
3.7.5	220	<p>If a project has safety-critical software, the project manager shall ensure all identified safety-critical software components have a cyclomatic complexity value of 15 or lower. Any exceedance shall be reviewed and waived with rationale by the project manager or technical approval authority.</p>	<p>1. Perform or analyze Cyclomatic Complexity metrics on all identified safety-critical software components.</p> <p>2. Confirm that all identified safety-critical software components have a cyclomatic complexity value of 15 or lower. If not, assure that software developers provide a technically acceptable risk assessment, accepted by the proper technical authority, explaining why the cyclomatic complexity value needs to be</p>

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
			higher than 15 and why the software component cannot be structured to be lower than 15 or why the cost and risk of reducing the complexity to below 15 are not justified by the risk inherent in modifying the software component.
3.8		Automatic Generation of Software Source Code	
3.8.1	146	<p>The project manager shall define the approach to the automatic generation of software source code, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Validation and verification of auto-generation tools. b. Configuration management of the auto-generation tools and associated data. c. Description of the limits and the allowable scope for the use of the auto-generated software. d. Verification and validation of auto-generated source code using the same software standards and processes as hand-generated code. e. Monitoring the actual use of auto-generated source code compared to the planned use. f. Policies and procedures for making manual changes to auto-generated source code. g. Configuration management of the input to the auto-generation tool, the output of the auto-generation tool, and modifications made to the output of the auto-generation tools. 	1. Assess that the approach for the auto-generation software source code is defined, and the approach satisfies at least the conditions “a” through “g.”

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
3.8.2	206	The project manager shall require the software developers and custom software suppliers to provide NASA with electronic access to the models, simulations, and associated data used as inputs for auto-generation of software.	1. Confirm that NASA, engineering, project, software assurance, and IV&V have electronic access to the models, simulations, and associated data used as inputs for auto-generation of software.
3.9		Software Development Processes and Practices	
3.9.2	032	The project manager shall acquire, develop, and maintain software from an organization with a non-expired CMMI®-DEV rating as measured by a CMMI® Institute Certified Lead Appraiser as follows: a. For Class A software: CMMI®-DEV Maturity, Level 3 Rating, or higher for software. b. For Class B software (except Class B software on NASA Class D payloads, as defined in NPR 8705.4): CMMI®-DEV Maturity Level 2 Rating or higher for software.	1. Confirm that Class A and B software acquired, developed, and maintained by NASA is performed by an organization with a non-expired CMMI-DEV rating, as per the NPR 7150.2 requirement. 2. Assess potential process-related issues, findings, or risks identified from the CMMI assessment findings. 3. Perform audits on the software development and software assurance processes.
3.10		Software Reuse	
3.10.1	147	The project manager shall specify reusability requirements that apply to its software development activities to enable future reuse of the software, including the models, simulations, and associated data used as inputs for auto-generation of software, for U.S. Government purposes.	1. Confirm that the project has considered reusability for its software development activities.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
3.10.2	148	<p>The project manager shall evaluate software for potential reuse by other projects across NASA and contribute reuse candidates to the appropriate NASA internal sharing and reuse software system. However, if the project manager is not a civil servant, then a civil servant will pre-approve all such software contributions; all software contributions should include, at a minimum, the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Software Title. b. Software Description. c. The Civil Servant Software Technical POC for the software product. d. The language or languages used to develop the software. e. Any third-party code contained therein, and the record of the requisite license or permission received from the third party permitting the Government’s use and any required markings (e.g., required copyright, author, applicable license notices within the software code, and the source of each third-party software component (e.g., software URL & license URL)), if applicable. f. Release notes. 	<p>1. Confirm that any project software contributed as a reuse candidate has the identified information in items “a” through “f.”</p>
3.11		Software Cybersecurity	

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
3.11.2	156	The project manager shall perform a software cybersecurity assessment on the software components per the Agency security policies and the project requirements, including risks posed by the use of COTS, GOTS, MOTS, OSS, or reused software components.	1. Confirm the project has performed a software cybersecurity assessment on the software components per the Agency security policies and the project requirements, including risks posed by the use of COTS, GOTS, MOTS, OSS, or reused software components.
3.11.3	154	The project manager shall identify cybersecurity risks, along with their mitigations, in flight and ground software systems, and plan the mitigations for these systems.	1. Confirm that cybersecurity risks, along with their mitigations, are identified and managed.
3.11.4	157	The project manager shall implement protections for software systems with communications capabilities against unauthorized access per the requirements contained in the NASA-STD-1006, Space System Protection Standard.	1. For software products with communications capabilities, confirm that the software requirements, software design documentation, and software implementation address unauthorized access per the requirements contained in the Space System Protection Standard, NASA-STD-1006.
3.11.5	159	The project manager shall test the software and record test results for the required software cybersecurity mitigation implementations identified from the security vulnerabilities and security weaknesses analysis.	1. Confirm that testing is complete for the cybersecurity mitigation. 2. Assess the quality of the cybersecurity mitigation implementation testing and the test results.
3.11.6	207	The project manager shall identify, record, and implement secure coding practices.	1. Assess that the software coding guidelines (e.g., coding standards) includes secure coding practices.
3.11.7	185	The project manager shall verify that the software code meets the project's secure coding standard by using the results from static analysis tool(s).	1. Analyze the engineering data or perform independent static code analysis to verify that the code meets the project's secure coding standard requirements.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks																												
3.11.8	210	The project manager shall identify software requirements for the collection, reporting, and storage of data relating to the detection of adversarial actions.	1. Confirm that the software requirements exist for collecting, reporting, and storing data relating to the detection of adversarial actions.																												
3.12		Software Bi-Directional Traceability																													
3.12.1	052	<p>The project manager shall perform, record, and maintain bi-directional traceability between the following software elements:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="443 651 1073 1321"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="443 651 825 760">Bi-directional Traceability</th> <th data-bbox="825 651 911 760">Class A, B, and C</th> <th data-bbox="911 651 993 760">Class D</th> <th data-bbox="993 651 1073 760">Class F</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="443 760 825 837">Higher-level requirements to the software requirements</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="825 760 911 837">X</td> <td data-bbox="911 760 993 837"></td> <td align="center" data-bbox="993 760 1073 837">X</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="443 837 825 915">Software requirements to the system hazards</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="825 837 911 915">X</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="911 837 993 915">X</td> <td data-bbox="993 837 1073 915"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="443 915 825 1024">Software requirements to the software design components</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="825 915 911 1024">X</td> <td data-bbox="911 915 993 1024"></td> <td data-bbox="993 915 1073 1024"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="443 1024 825 1133">Software design components to the software code</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="825 1024 911 1133">X</td> <td data-bbox="911 1024 993 1133"></td> <td data-bbox="993 1024 1073 1133"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="443 1133 825 1211">Software requirements to the software verification(s)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="825 1133 911 1211">X</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="911 1133 993 1211">X</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="993 1133 1073 1211">X</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="443 1211 825 1321">Software requirements to the software non-conformances</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="825 1211 911 1321">X</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="911 1211 993 1321">X</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="993 1211 1073 1321">X</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Bi-directional Traceability	Class A, B, and C	Class D	Class F	Higher-level requirements to the software requirements	X		X	Software requirements to the system hazards	X	X		Software requirements to the software design components	X			Software design components to the software code	X			Software requirements to the software verification(s)	X	X	X	Software requirements to the software non-conformances	X	X	X	<p>1. Confirm that bi-directional traceability has been completed, recorded, and maintained.</p> <p>2. Confirm that the software traceability includes traceability to any hazard that includes software.</p>
Bi-directional Traceability	Class A, B, and C	Class D	Class F																												
Higher-level requirements to the software requirements	X		X																												
Software requirements to the system hazards	X	X																													
Software requirements to the software design components	X																														
Software design components to the software code	X																														
Software requirements to the software verification(s)	X	X	X																												
Software requirements to the software non-conformances	X	X	X																												
4		Software Engineering (Life Cycle) Requirements																													
4.1		Software Requirements																													

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
4.1.2	050	The project manager shall establish, capture, record, approve, and maintain software requirements, including requirements for COTS, GOTS, MOTS, OSS, or reused software components, as part of the technical specification.	1. Confirm that all software requirements are established, captured, and documented as part of the technical specification, including requirements for COTS, GOTS, MOTS, OSS, or reused software components.
4.1.3	051	The project manager shall perform software requirements analysis based on flowed-down and derived requirements from the top-level systems engineering requirements, safety and reliability analyses, and the hardware specifications and design.	1. Perform a software assurance analysis on the detailed software requirements to analyze the software requirement sources and identify any incorrect, missing, or incomplete requirements.
4.1.4	184	The project manager shall include software related safety constraints, controls, mitigations, and assumptions between the hardware, operator, and software in the software requirements documentation.	1. Analyze and confirm that the software requirements documentation contains the software related safety constraints, controls, mitigations, and assumptions between the hardware, operator, and the software.
4.1.5	053	The project manager shall track and manage changes to the software requirements.	1. Confirm the software requirements changes are documented, tracked, approved, and maintained throughout the project life cycle.
4.1.6	054	The project manager shall identify, initiate corrective actions, and track until closure inconsistencies among requirements, project plans, and software products.	1. Monitor identified differences among requirements, project plans, and software products and confirm differences are addressed and corrective actions are tracked until closure.
4.1.7	055	The project manager shall perform requirements validation to ensure that the software will perform as intended in the customer environment.	1. Confirm that the project software testing has shown that software will function as expected in the customer environment.
4.2		Software Architecture	

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
4.2.3	057	The project manager shall transform the requirements for the software into a recorded software architecture.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Assess that the software architecture addresses or contains the software structure, qualities, interfaces, and external/internal components. 2. Analyze the software architecture to assess whether software safety and mission assurance requirements are met.
4.2.4	143	The project manager shall perform a software architecture review on the following categories of projects: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Category 1 Projects as defined in NPR 7120.5. b. Category 2 Projects as defined in NPR 7120.5 that have Class A or Class B payload risk classification per NPR 8705.4. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Assess the results of or participate in software architecture review activities held by the project.
4.3		Software Design	
4.3.2	058	The project manager shall develop, record, and maintain a software design based on the software architectural design that describes the lower-level units so that they can be coded, compiled, and tested.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Assess the software design against the hardware and software requirements and identify any gaps. 2. Assess the software design to verify that the design is consistent with the software architectural design concepts and that the software design describes the lower-level units to be coded, compiled, and tested. 3. Assess that the design does not introduce undesirable behaviors or unnecessary capabilities. 4. Confirm that the software design implements all of the required safety-critical functions and requirements. 5. Perform a software assurance design analysis.
4.4		Software Implementation	

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
4.4.2	060	The project manager shall implement the software design into software code.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the software code implements the software designs. 2. Confirm that the code does not contain functionality not defined in the design or requirements.
4.4.3	061	The project manager shall select, define, and adhere to software coding methods, standards, and criteria.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Assure the project manager selected and/or defined software coding methods, standards, and criteria. 2. Analyze that the software code conforms to all required software coding methods, rules, and principles.
4.4.4	135	The project manager shall use static analysis tools to analyze the code during the development and testing phases to, at a minimum, detect defects, software security, code coverage, and software complexity.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Analyze the engineering data or perform independent static code analysis to check for code defects, software quality objectives, code coverage objectives, software complexity values, and software security objectives. 2. Confirm the static analysis tool(s) are used with checkers to identify security and coding errors and defects. 3. Assess that the project addresses the results from the static analysis tools used by software assurance, software safety, engineering, or the project. 4. Confirm that the software code has been scanned for security defects and confirm the result. 5. Per SWE-219 for safety-critical software, verify code coverage and approved waivers. 6. Per SWE-220 for safety-critical software, verify cyclomatic complexity and approved waivers. 7. Confirm that Software Quality Objectives or software quality threshold levels are defined and set

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
			for static code analysis defects, checks, or software security objectives.
4.4.5	062	The project manager shall unit test the software code.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project successfully executes the required unit tests, particularly those testing safety-critical functions. 2. Confirm that the project addresses or otherwise tracks to closure errors, defects, or problem reports found during unit testing.
4.4.6	186	The project manager shall assure that the unit test results are repeatable.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project maintains the procedures, scripts, results, and data needed to repeat the unit testing (e.g., as-run scripts, test procedures, results).
4.4.7	063	The project manager shall provide a software version description for each software release.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project creates a correct software version description for each software release. 2. For each software release, confirm that the software has been scanned for security defects and coding standard compliance and confirm the results.
4.4.8	136	The project manager shall validate and accredit the software tool(s) required to develop or maintain software.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the software tool(s) needed to create and maintain software is validated and accredited.
4.5		Software Testing	
4.5.2	065a	The project manager shall establish and maintain: a. Software test plan(s). ...	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that software test plans have been established, contain correct content, and are maintained. 2. Confirm that the software test plan addresses the verification of safety-critical software, specifically the off-nominal scenarios.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
4.5.2	065b	The project manager shall establish and maintain: ... b. Software test procedure(s). ...	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the test procedures have been established and are updated when changes to tests or requirements occur. 2. Analyze the software test procedures for the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Coverage of the software requirements. b. Acceptance or pass/fail criteria, c. The inclusion of operational and off-nominal conditions, including boundary conditions, d. Requirements coverage and hazards per SWE-066 and SWE-192, respectively. e. Requirements coverage for cybersecurity per SWE-157 and SWE-210.
4.5.2	065c	The project manager shall establish and maintain: ... c. Software test(s), including any code specifically written to perform test procedures. ...	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project creates and maintains any code specifically written to perform test procedures in a software configuration management system. 2. Confirm that the project records all issues and discrepancies in the code specifically written to perform test procedures. 3. Confirm that the project tracks to closure errors and defects found in the code specifically written to perform test procedures.
4.5.2	065d	The project manager shall establish and maintain: ... d. Software test report(s).	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project creates and maintains the test reports throughout software integration and test. 2. Confirm that the project records the test report data and that the data contains the as-run test data, the test results, and required approvals. 3. Confirm that the project records all issues and discrepancies found during each test.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
			4. Confirm that the project tracks to closure errors and defects found during testing.
4.5.3	066	The project manager shall test the software against its requirements.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm test coverage of the requirements through the execution of the test procedures. 2. Perform test witnessing for safety-critical software. 3. Confirm that any newly identified software contributions to hazards, events, or conditions found during testing are in the system safety data package.
4.5.4	187	The project manager shall place software items under configuration management prior to testing.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that software items to be tested are under configuration management before the start of testing. 2. Confirm the project maintains the software items under configuration management through the completion of testing.
4.5.5	068	The project manager shall evaluate test results and record the evaluation.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that test results are assessed and recorded. 2. Confirm that the project documents software non-conformances in a tracking system. 3. Confirm that test results are sufficient verification artifacts for the hazard reports.
4.5.6	070	The project manager shall use validated and accredited software models, simulations, and analysis tools required to perform qualification of flight software or flight equipment.	1. Confirm that the software models, simulations, and analysis tools used to achieve the qualification of flight software or flight equipment have been validated and accredited.
4.5.7	071	The project manager shall update the software test and verification plan(s) and procedure(s) to be consistent with software requirements.	1. Analyze that software test plans and software test procedures cover the software requirements and provide adequate verification of hazard controls, specifically the off-nominal scenarios.
4.5.8	073	The project manager shall validate the software system on the targeted platform or high-fidelity simulation.	1. Confirm that the project validates the software components on the targeted platform or a high-fidelity simulation.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
4.5.9	189	The project manager shall ensure that the code coverage measurements for the software are selected, implemented, tracked, recorded, and reported.	1. Confirm that code coverage measurements have been selected, performed, tracked, recorded, and communicated with each release.
4.5.10	190	The project manager shall verify code coverage is measured by analysis of the results of the execution of tests.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project performs code coverage analysis using the results of the tests or a code coverage tool. 2. Analyze the code coverage measurements to identify uncovered software code. 3. Assess any uncovered software code for potential risk, issues, or findings.
4.5.11	191	The project manager shall plan and conduct software regression testing to demonstrate that defects have not been introduced into previously integrated or tested software and have not produced a security vulnerability.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project plans regression testing and that the regression testing is adequate and includes retesting of all safety-critical code components. 2. Confirm that the project performs the planned regression testing. 3. Identify any risks and issues associated with the regression test set selection and execution. 4. Confirm that the regression test procedures are updated to incorporate tests that validate the correction of critical anomalies.
4.5.12	192	The project manager shall verify through test the software requirements that trace to a hazardous event, cause, or mitigation technique.	1. Through testing, confirm that the project verifies the software requirements which trace to a hazardous event, cause, or mitigation techniques.
4.5.13	193	The project manager shall develop acceptance tests for loaded or uplinked data, rules, and code that affects software and software system behavior.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project develops acceptance tests for loaded or uplinked data, rules, and code that affect software and software system behavior. 2. Confirm that the loaded or uplinked data, rules, scripts, or code that affect software and software

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
			<p>system behavior are baselined in the software configuration system.</p> <p>3. Confirm that loaded or uplinked data, rules, and scripts are verified as correct prior to operations, particularly for safety-critical operations.</p>
4.5.14	211	The project manager shall test embedded COTS, GOTS, MOTS, OSS, or reused software components to the same level required to accept a custom developed software component for its intended use.	1. Confirm that the project is testing COTS, GOTS, MOTS, OSS, or reused software components to the same level as developed software for its intended use.
4.6		Software Operations, Maintenance, and Retirement	
4.6.2	075	The project manager shall plan and implement software operations, maintenance, and retirement activities.	<p>1. Assess the maintenance, operations, and retirement plans for completeness of the required software engineering and software assurance activities.</p> <p>2. Confirm that the project implements software operations, software maintenance, and software retirement plans.</p>
4.6.3	077	The project manager shall complete and deliver the software product to the customer with appropriate records, including as-built records, to support the operations and maintenance phase of the software's life cycle.	<p>1. Confirm that the correct version of the products is delivered, including as-built documentation and project records.</p> <p>2. Perform audits for all deliveries per the configuration management processes to verify that all products are being delivered and are the correct versions.</p>

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
4.6.4	194	The project manager shall complete, prior to delivery, verification that all software requirements identified for this delivery have been met or dispositioned, that all approved changes have been implemented and that all defects designated for resolution prior to delivery have been resolved.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project has identified the software requirements to be met, the approved changes to be implemented, and defects to be resolved for each delivery. 2. Confirm that the project has met all software requirements identified for delivery. 3. Confirm requirements once planned for delivery but no longer appearing in delivery documentation have been dispositioned. 4. Confirm that approved changes have been implemented and tested. 5. Confirm that the approved changes to be implemented and the defects to be resolved have been resolved. 6. Approve or sign off on the projects delivered products.
4.6.5	195	The project manager shall maintain the software using standards and processes, per the applicable software classification throughout the maintenance phase.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Perform audits on the standards and processes used throughout maintenance based on the software classification.
4.6.6	196	The project manager shall identify the records and software tools to be archived, the location of the archive, and procedures for access to the products for software retirement or disposal.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project has identified the records and software tools for archival. 2. Confirm that the project archives all software and records selected for archival, as planned.
5		Supporting Software Life Cycle Requirements	
5.1		Software Configuration Management	

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
5.1.2	079	The project manager shall develop a software configuration management plan that describes the functions, responsibilities, and authority for the implementation of software configuration management for the project.	1. Assess that a software configuration management plan has been developed and complies with the requirements in NPR 7150.2 and Center/project guidance.
5.1.3	080	The project manager shall track and evaluate changes to software products.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Analyze proposed software and hardware changes to software products for impacts, particularly safety and security. 2. Confirm the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The project tracks the changes. b. The changes are approved and documented before implementation. c. The implementation of changes is complete. d. The project tests the changes. 3. Confirm software changes follow the software change control process.
5.1.4	081	The project manager shall identify the software configuration items (e.g., software records, code, data, tools, models, scripts) and their versions to be controlled for the project.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project has identified the configuration items and their versions to be controlled. 2. Assess that the software safety-critical items are configuration-managed, including hazard reports and safety analysis.
5.1.5	082	<p>The project manager shall establish and implement procedures to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Designate the levels of control through which each identified software configuration item is required to pass. b. Identify the persons or groups with authority to authorize changes. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that software assurance has participation in software control activities. 2. Perform an audit against the configuration management procedures to confirm that the project follows the established procedures.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
		c. Identify the persons or groups to make changes at each level.	
5.1.6	083	The project manager shall prepare and maintain records of the configuration status of software configuration items.	1. Confirm that the project maintains records of the configuration status of the configuration items.
5.1.7	084	The project manager shall perform software configuration audits to determine the correct version of the software configuration items and verify that they conform to the records that define them.	1. Confirm that the project manager performed software configuration audits to determine the correct version of the software configuration items and verify that the results of the audit conform to the records that define them.
5.1.8	085	The project manager shall establish and implement procedures for the storage, handling, delivery, release, and maintenance of deliverable software products.	1. Confirm that the project establishes procedures for storage, processing, distribution, release, and support of deliverable software products. 2. Perform audits on the project to ensure that the project follows defined procedures for deliverable software products.
5.1.9	045	The project manager shall participate in any joint NASA/developer audits.	1. Participate in or assess the results from any joint NASA/developer audits. Track any findings to closure.
5.2		Software Risk Management	
5.2.1	086	The project manager shall record, analyze, plan, track, control, and communicate all of the software risks and mitigation plans.	1. Confirm and assess that a risk management process includes recording, analyzing, planning, tracking, controlling, and communicating all software risks and mitigation plans. 2. Perform audits on the risk management process for the software activities.
5.3		Software Peer Reviews/Inspections	

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
5.3.2	087	<p>The project manager shall perform and report the results of software peer reviews or software inspections for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Software requirements. b. Software plans, including cybersecurity. c. Any design items that the project identified for software peer review or software inspections according to the software development plans. d. Software code as defined in the software and or project plans. e. Software test procedures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that software peer reviews are performed and reported on for project activities. 2. Confirm that the project addresses the accepted software peer review findings. 3. Perform peer reviews on software assurance and software safety plans. 4. Confirm that the source code satisfies the conditions in the NPR 7150.2 requirement SWE-134, "a" through "l," based upon the software functionality for the applicable safety-critical requirements at each code inspection/review.
5.3.3	088	<p>The project manager shall, for each planned software peer review or software inspection:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Use a checklist or formal reading technique (e.g., perspective-based reading) to evaluate the work products. b. Use established readiness and completion criteria. c. Track actions identified in the reviews until they are resolved. d. Identify the required participants. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project meets the NPR 7150.2 criteria in "a" through "d" for each software peer review. 2. Confirm that the project resolves the actions identified from the software peer reviews. 3. Perform audits on the peer-review process.
5.3.4	089	<p>The project manager shall, for each planned software peer review or software inspection, record necessary measurements.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project records the software peer reviews and results of software inspection measurements.
5.4		Software Measurements	
5.4.2	090	<p>The project manager shall establish, record, maintain, report, and utilize software management and technical measurements.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that a measurement program establishes, records, maintains, reports, and uses software assurance, management, and technical measures. 2. Perform trending analyses on metrics (quality metrics, defect metrics) and report.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
			3. Collect any identified organizational metrics and submit them to the organizational repository.
5.4.3	093	The project manager shall analyze software measurement data collected using documented project-specified and Center/organizational analysis procedures.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm software measurement data analysis conforms to documented analysis procedures. 2. Analyze software assurance measurement data.
5.4.4	094	The project manager shall provide access to the software measurement data, measurement analyses, and software development status as requested to the sponsoring Mission Directorate, the NASA Chief Engineer, the Center Technical Authorities, HQ SMA, and other organizations as appropriate.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm access to software measurement data, analysis, and status as requested to the following entities, at a minimum: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sponsoring Mission Directorate - NASA Chief Engineer - Center Technical Authorities - Headquarters SMA
5.4.5	199	The project manager shall monitor measures to ensure the software will meet or exceed performance and functionality requirements, including satisfying constraints.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project monitors and updates planned measurements to ensure the software meets or exceeds performance and functionality requirements, including satisfying constraints. 2. Monitor and track any performance or functionality requirements that are not being met or are at risk of not being met.
5.4.6	200	The project manager shall collect, track, and report software requirements volatility metrics.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that the project collects, tracks, and reports on the software volatility metrics. 2. Analyze software volatility metrics to evaluate requirements stability as an early indicator of project problems.
5.5		Software Non-conformance or Defect Management	

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
5.5.1	201	The project manager shall track and maintain software non-conformances (including defects in tools and appropriate ground software).	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that all software non-conformances are recorded and tracked to resolution. 2. Confirm that accepted non-conformances include the rationale for the non-conformance.
5.5.2	202	The project manager shall define and implement clear software severity levels for all software non-conformances (including tools, COTS, GOTS, MOTS, OSS, reused software components, and applicable ground systems).	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm that all software non-conformances severity levels are defined. 2. Assess the application and accuracy of the defined severity levels to software non-conformances. 3. Confirm that the project assigns severity levels to non-conformances associated with tools, COTS, GOTS, MOTS, OSS, and reused software components. 4. Maintain or access the number of software non-conformances at each severity level for each software configuration item.
5.5.3	203	The project manager shall implement mandatory assessments of reported non-conformances for all COTS, GOTS, MOTS, OSS, and/or reused software components.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm the evaluations of reported non-conformances for all COTS, GOTS, MOTS, OSS, or reused software components are occurring throughout the project life cycle. 2. Assess the impact of non-conformances on the project software's safety, quality, and reliability.
5.5.4	204	The project manager shall implement process assessments for all high severity software non-conformances (closed loop process).	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Perform or confirm that a root cause analysis has been completed on all identified high severity software nonconformances, and that the results are recorded and have been assessed for adequacy. 2. Confirm that the project analyzed the processes identified in the root cause analysis associated with the high severity software non-conformances. 3. Assess opportunities for improvement on the processes identified in the root cause analysis

NASA-STD-8739.8B

NPR 7150.2 Section	SWE #	NPR 7150.2 Requirement	Software Assurance and Software Safety Tasks
			associated with the high severity software non-conformances. 4. Perform or confirm tracking of corrective actions to closure on high severity software non-conformances.

4.4 Independent Verification & Validation

4.4.1 IV&V Overview

4.4.1.1 IV&V is a technical discipline of software assurance that employs rigorous analysis and testing methodologies to identify objective evidence and conclusions to provide an independent assessment of critical products and processes throughout the software development life cycle. The evaluation of products and processes throughout the life cycle demonstrates whether the software is fit for nominal operations (required functionality, safety, dependability, etc.) and off-nominal conditions (response to faults, responses to hazardous conditions, etc.). The goal of the IV&V effort is to contribute assurance conclusions provided to the project and stakeholders based on evidence found in software development artifacts and risks associated with the intended behaviors of the software.

4.4.1.2 Three parameters define the independence of IV&V: technical independence, managerial independence, and financial independence.

- a. Technical independence requires that the personnel performing the IV&V analysis are not involved in the development of the system or its elements. The IV&V team establishes an understanding of the problem and how the system addresses the problem. Through technical independence, the IV&V team's different perspective allows it to detect subtle errors overlooked by personnel focused on developing the system.
- b. Managerial independence requires that the personnel performing the IV&V analysis are not in the same organization as the development and program management team. Managerial independence also means that the IV&V team makes its own decisions about which segments of the system and its software to analyze and test, chooses the IV&V analysis methods to apply, and defines the IV&V schedule of activities. While independent from the development and program management organization, the IV&V team provides its findings in a timely manner to both of those organizations. The submission of findings to the program management organization should not include any restrictions (e.g., requiring the approval of the development organization) or any other adverse pressures from the development group.
- c. Financial independence requires that the control of the IV&V budget be vested in a group independent of the software development organization. Financial independence does not necessarily mean that the IV&V team controls the budget but that the finances should be structured so that funding is available for the IV&V team to complete its analysis or test work. No adverse financial pressure or influence is applied.

4.4.1.3 The IV&V process starts early in the software development life cycle, providing feedback to the IV&V provider organization, allowing the IV&V team to modify products at optimal timeframes and in a timely fashion, thereby reducing overall project risk. The feedback also answers project stakeholders' questions about system properties (correctness, robustness, safety, security, etc.) to make informed decisions with respect to the development and acceptance of the system and its software.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

4.4.1.4 The IV&V provider performs two primary activities, often concurrently: verification and validation. Each of the activities provides a different perspective on the system/software.

- a. Verification is the process of evaluating a system and its software to provide objective evidence as to whether or not a product conforms to the build-to requirements and design specifications. Verification holds from the requirements through the design and code and into testing. Verification demonstrates that the products of a given development phase satisfy the conditions imposed at the start of or during that phase.
- b. Validation develops objective evidence that shows that the content of the engineering artifact is the right content for the developed system/software.

The content is accurate and correct if the objective evidence demonstrates that it satisfies the system requirements (e.g., user needs, stakeholder needs, etc.), fully describes the required capability/functionality needed, and solves the right problem.

4.4.1.5 The main goal of the IV&V effort is to identify and generate objective evidence that supports the correct operation of the system or refutes the correct operation of the system. The IV&V provider typically works with the development team to understand this objective evidence, which provides artifacts such as concept studies, operations concepts, and requirements that define the overall project. The IV&V provider uses these materials to develop an independent understanding of the project's commitment to NASA, which forms the basis for validating lower-level technical artifacts.

4.4.1.6 Two principles help guide the development and use of objective evidence.

- a. Performing IV&V throughout the entire development lifetime is the first principle; potential problems should be detected as early as possible in the development life cycle. Performing IV&V throughout the entire development lifetime provides the IV&V team with sufficient information to establish a basis for the analysis results and provides early objective evidence to the development and program management groups to help keep the development effort on track early in the life cycle.
- b. The second principle is "appropriate assurance." Given that it is not possible to provide IV&V on all aspects of a project's software, the IV&V provider and project should balance risks against available resources to define an IV&V program for each project that provides IV&V so that the software will operate correctly, safely, reliably, and securely throughout its operational lifetime. The IPEP documents this tailored approach and summarizes the cost/benefit trade-offs made in the scoping process.

4.4.1.7 The IV&V requirements are analyzed and partitioned according to the type of artifact. The requirements do not imply or require the use of any specific life cycle model. It is also important to understand that IV&V applies to any life cycle development process. The IV&V requirements document the potential scope of analysis performed by the IV&V provider and the key responsibility of the software project to provide the information needed to perform that analysis. Additionally, the risk assessment is used to scope the IV&V analysis to help determine the prioritization of activities and the level of rigor associated with performing those

NASA-STD-8739.8B

activities. The scoping exercise results are captured in the IV&V Project Execution Plan, as documented below.

4.4.2 IV&V Requirements

The responsible project manager shall ensure the performance of the IV&V requirements, as defined in section 4.4.2 of this standard. The IV&V requirements in this section of the standard apply to any project required to have IV&V per the criteria defined in the NASA Software Engineering Requirements, NPR 7150.2. The IV&V requirements apply to all IV&V efforts performed on a software development project, as tailored by the IV&V Project Execution Plan. The IV&V requirements also serve as the definition of what NASA considers IV&V. IV&V is a risk mitigation activity, and as such, the application of IV&V analysis and the rigor of that analysis is driven by the IV&V provider's assessment of software risk.

4.4.2.1 The IV&V provider shall conduct planning and risk assessments to determine the specific system/software behaviors (including the software components responsible for implementing the behaviors) to be analyzed.

Note: IV&V is a focused activity that prioritizes IV&V analysis to address the highest developmental and operational software risks. IV&V priority is based on the combination of the potential for software impacts on safety and mission success and the probability factors for latent defects. IV&V analysis activities provide coverage with a degree of rigor that reflects the priority level. The initial planning and scoping effort based on the risk assessment define the starting point for the IV&V analysis. During the life cycle of each IV&V project, continuous and iterative feedback, through the execution of analysis, identification of issues and risks, and the collection of deeper mission understanding, allows IV&V projects to "Follow The Risk" and adjust plans. The planning and scoping effort also aid in establishing the initial relationships between the IV&V provider, the Acquirer, and the Provider.

4.4.2.2 The IV&V provider shall develop and negotiate an IV&V IPEP with the project.

Note: The IPEP documents the activities, methods, level of rigor, environments, tailoring (if any) of the IV&V requirements, and criteria to be used in performing verification and validation of in-scope system/software behaviors (including responsible software components) determined by the planning and scoping effort. A Provider should use a documented analysis approach to track and manage the IV&V effort aligned with ongoing development project efforts. The IPEP documents which software products are subject to which analyses and which analysis requirements are wholly, partially, or not applied following the risk assessment and resource constraints. The IPEP also serves as a communication tool between the project and IV&V to set expectations for the IV&V products produced throughout the life cycle. The IPEP may require updating throughout the life cycle.

4.4.2.3 The Project SMA Technical Authority (TA) shall review and concur with the IPEP.

4.4.2.4 The IV&V provider shall provide analysis results, risks, and assurance statements and data to the responsible organizations' project management, engineering, and software assurance personnel.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Note: While independent, the IV&V provider is still a part of a project's overall safety and risk mitigation software assurance strategy. The results of IV&V analysis need to be incorporated into the overall software assurance assessment of the project and provided to the project management. The IV&V provider should support project milestone reviews and provide the project with an evaluation of the life cycle review artifacts to assist development management decisions on whether the review criteria have been met and how to proceed going forward.

4.4.2.5 The IV&V provider shall participate in project reviews of software activities. Participation includes providing status and results of software IV&V activities including, but not limited to, upcoming analysis activities, artifacts needed from the project, the results of the current or completed analysis, defects, and risks to stakeholders, customers, and development project personnel.

Note: The most significant positive impact of IV&V analysis is when the analysis results are in phase with the development effort. Communicating defects after development artifacts are baselined increases the cost to make the changes. Additionally, the inclusion of the IV&V provider in ongoing technical meetings keeps the IV&V provider informed of possible changes that may affect future IV&V tasking. Supporting the ongoing technical meetings allows the IV&V Provider an opportunity to provide real-time feedback on these changes.

4.4.2.6 The IV&V provider shall provide the responsible organizations' project management, engineering, and software assurance personnel insight into the software IV&V and IV&V test activities. As a minimum, the IV&V provider will be required to allow the responsible organizations' project management, engineering, and software assurance personnel to perform the following activities:

- a. Monitor the IV&V activities and plans.
- b. Review the verification activities to ensure adequacy.
- c. Review IV&V studies and source data.
- d. Audit the software IV&V processes and practices.
- e. Participate in IV&V software reviews and technical interchange meetings

4.4.2.7 The IV&V provider shall participate in planned software peer reviews or software inspections guided by the planning and scoping risk analysis documented in the IPEP and NASA-HDBK-2203.

Note: The IV&V provider should be involved in the review/inspection process for all system/software items within the scope of their analysis.

4.4.2.8 The IV&V provider shall establish, record, maintain, report, and utilize IV&V management and technical measurements.

Note: The IV&V provider gathers and analyzes metrics on a periodic basis to perform continuous improvement of IV&V processes and identify indicators of IV&V and project risks.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

4.4.2.9 The IV&V provider shall assess and track software activities' actual results and performance against the software plans and identify and report any risks or findings to the responsible organizations' project management, engineering, and software assurance personnel.

4.4.2.10 The IV&V provider shall track and evaluate changes to software products to evaluate for possible changes in the IV&V provider's risk analysis and potential adverse impacts to the software system and the development effort.

4.4.2.11 The IV&V provider shall assess the software development life cycle for suitability for the problem to be solved and identify and communicate any risks associated with the chosen life cycle to the responsible organizations' project management, engineering, and software assurance personnel.

4.4.2.12 The IV&V provider shall identify, analyze, track, and record risks to the software and development project in accordance with NPR 8000.4, Agency Risk Management Procedural Requirements, and communicate the risks to the responsible organizations' project management, engineering, and software assurance personnel.

4.4.2.13 The IV&V provider shall verify the project implements the requirements for software listed in NPR 7150.2 and communicate any risks to the responsible organizations' project management, engineering, and software assurance personnel.

4.4.2.14 The IV&V provider shall track, record, and communicate defects/issues and other results found during the execution of IV&V analysis and independent IV&V testing to the responsible organizations' project management, engineering, and software assurance personnel.

4.4.2.15 The IV&V provider shall ensure that the identified defects and issues are addressed by the project.

4.4.2.16 The IV&V provider shall ensure that software planned for reuse meets the fit, form, and function as a component within the new application.

4.4.2.17 The IV&V provider shall ensure that the system architecture contains the computing-related items (subsystems, components, etc.) to carry out the system's mission and satisfy user needs and operational scenarios or use cases.

4.4.2.18 The IV&V provider shall ensure that the basis for the computing-related functions reflects the planned operations and mission objectives.

4.4.2.19 The IV&V provider shall ensure that feasibility and trade studies provide the results to support the critical decisions that drove the need for the study.

4.4.2.20 The IV&V provider shall ensure that known software-based hazard causes, contributors, and controls are identified, documented, and traced to the project requirements.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

4.4.2.21 The IV&V provider shall ensure that known security threats and risks are identified, appropriately documented, and updated throughout the software development life cycle and communicated to the responsible organizations' project management, engineering, and software assurance personnel.

4.4.2.22 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate that the software requirements and system requirements are, as a minimum, correct, consistent, complete, accurate, readable, traceable, and testable.

Note: Software usually provides the interface between the user and the system hardware and the interface between system hardware components and other systems. These interfaces are critical to the successful operation and use of the system.

4.4.2.23 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate that the mitigations for identified security risks are in the software requirements.

Note: Security is an essential aspect of any system development effort. In most systems, software provides the primary user interface. The user interface is an element of the system that can provide undesired access. A system concept design should address known security risks through various features in the system.

4.4.2.24 The IV&V provider shall ensure that software requirements meet the dependability and fault tolerance required by the system.

4.4.2.25 The IV&V provider shall ensure that software requirements provide the capability of controlling identified hazards and do not create hazardous conditions.

4.4.2.26 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate that the relationship between the in-scope system/software requirements and the associated architectural elements is traceable, correct, consistent, and complete.

Note: Architectural elements are responsible for implementing specific behaviors within the software and the overall system. The interactions between these architectural elements result in the realization of the desired behaviors as well as possible undesired behaviors.

4.4.2.27 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate that the software architecture meets the user's safety and mission-critical needs as defined in the requirements.

Note: The architecture provides the foundation for the development of the software. It also significantly impacts how the software deals with faults and failures and how the software interfaces with the user and system components. Analysis of the architecture provides early insight into how the software is structured and how that structure can implement the requirements.

4.4.2.28 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate that the detailed design products are traceable, consistent, complete, accurate, and testable.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Note: Detailed design is the implementation of the algorithms that control and monitor the different parts of the system and allow for interaction between the system and the user and other systems. The detailed design defines how the architectural components behave to support the interactions defined in the architecture. Analysis of the detailed design includes looking at the low-level software components in the software system.

4.4.2.29 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate that the interfaces between the detailed design components and the hardware, users, operators, other software, and external systems are correct, consistent, complete, accurate, and testable.

Note: While the architecture defines the interactions between the architectural elements, each element is generally composed of lower-level components defined by the detailed design. The interfaces between these components are important in ensuring that the architectural element meets its assigned responsibilities.

4.4.2.30 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate that the relationship between the software requirements and the associated detailed design components is correct, consistent, and complete.

Note: The detailed design components capture the approach to implementing the software requirements, including the requirements associated with fault management, security, and safety. Analysis of the relationship between the detailed design and the software requirements provides evidence that all requirements are in the detailed design.

4.4.2.31 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate that the software code and data products are consistent with architecture, complete with respect to requirements, and testable.

4.4.2.32 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate that the software code meets the industry best practices and software coding standards.

4.4.2.33 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate that the security risks in the software code are identified and mitigated.

Note: This includes software developed by NASA, software developed for NASA, software maintained by or for NASA, COTS, GOTS, MOTS, OSS, reused software components, auto-generated code, embedded software, the software executed on processors embedded in programmable logic devices, legacy, heritage, applications, freeware, shareware, trial or demonstration software.

4.4.2.34 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate the appropriate use of off-the-shelf software, including ensuring that the project has identified all OSS used and that the security risks are identified and mitigated by the use of the off-the-shelf software.

4.4.2.35 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate that the project assesses the software systems for possible security vulnerabilities and weaknesses.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

4.4.2.36 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate that the project implements the required software security risk mitigations to ensure that security objectives for software are satisfied.

4.4.2.37 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate the source code through the use of analysis tools (including but not limited to static, dynamic, composition, and formal analysis tools).

Note: The use of analysis tools may include the verification and validation of the analysis tools used by the development project in the process of developing the software. The results may be from static code analysis, software composition analysis, dynamic code analysis, cyclomatic complexity, or other code quality analysis tools.

4.4.2.38 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate that the relationship between the software design elements and the associated software units is correct, consistent, and complete.

4.4.2.39 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate that the relationship between software code components and corresponding requirements is correct, complete, and consistent.

Note: For all of the implementation requirements, it is with code that the development of software reaches its lowest level of abstraction and that the software capabilities are implemented. Evaluating the relationship between the source code and the design components and requirements provides evidence that only the specified requirements and components are in the system. Evaluating the relationship between the source code and the design components and requirements helps minimize one aspect of the emergence of unexpected behaviors: the inclusion of behaviors not specified in the requirements. The overall analysis of the code is essential in assuring that the code does implement the required software behaviors. From a safety perspective, it is important to evaluate the code and assure that known software safety and security issues such as buffer overflows and type mismatches, among many others, are not used in safety-critical aspects of the software.

4.4.2.40 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate that test plans, test procedures, test cases, test environment (including simulations), and test design at all levels of testing (unit, integration, system, acceptance, etc.) are correct, complete, and consistent for verification and validation of the source code and system functions allocated to the software.

4.4.2.41 The IV&V provider shall verify and validate the relationships between the test plans, test procedures, test cases, test design, source code, and system functions allocated to the software are correct, complete, and consistent.

4.4.2.42 The IV&V provider shall verify that the test plans, test cases, test design, and test procedures contain objective acceptance criteria that support the verification of the associated requirements for both nominal and off-nominal conditions.

4.4.2.43 The IV&V provider shall verify that the software test results meet the associated acceptance criteria to ensure that the software correctly implements the associated requirements.

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Note: The IV&V provider assesses the testing artifacts with respect to the desired capabilities and expected operational system environment. The assessment includes an examination of testing at system boundary conditions to include unexpected conditions. The testing analysis assures that the project tests all requirements and that the system does what the requirements state it should do. The testing analysis also includes an analysis of the traceability information between the tests and the requirements.

4.4.2.44 The IV&V provider shall verify that the project tests the required software security risk mitigations to ensure that the security objectives for the software are satisfied.

4.4.2.45 The IV&V provider shall verify that code coverage is measured by analysis of the results of the execution of tests.

4.4.2.46 The IV&V provider shall verify through independent testing each of the software requirements that trace to a hazardous event, cause, or mitigation technique.

4.4.2.47 The IV&V provider shall verify the project's acceptance tests for loaded or uplinked data, rules, and code that affects software and software system behavior.

4.4.2.48 The IV&V provider shall participate in all NASA quality audits, assessments, and reviews associated with the project.

4.4.2.49 The IV&V provider shall assess the software maintenance and operational risks concerning software elements to support the planning of IV&V activities during the maintenance phase.

Note 1: The approach to software development on some projects results in different parts of the software going into operation at different times in the overall project life cycle. For example, a lander mission to Mars may complete the software needed for the cruise phase to Mars while continuing to work on the entry, descent, landing, and surface operations software.

Note 2: In some cases, software anomalies cause changes to the software. IV&V is important because software changes can often have ripple effects throughout the system and cause emergent behaviors. The IV&V analysis provides insight into these possible effects and provides an overall assessment of the impact of the change.

4.5 Principles Related to Tailoring the Standard Requirements

4.5.1 The SMA TA for the project shall review and approve any tailored Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard requirements.

4.5.2 Software requirements tailoring is the process used to seek relief from standard requirements consistent with program or project objectives, acceptable risk, and constraints. To accommodate the wide variety of software systems and subsystems, applying these requirements to specific software assurance, software safety, and IV&V efforts may be tailored where justified and approved. NASA established the TA governance model to approve and mitigate any changes to the Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard requirements. Tailoring from requirements in the Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard is governed by the

NASA-STD-8739.8B

following steps. Tailoring at the Center level is decided by the SMA TA and the NPR 7150.2 Requirements Mapping Matrix applicability. Tailor the software assurance, software safety, and IV&V requirements using the following levels:

- a. The first level of tailoring is the Software Classification Decision, see NPR 7150.2.
- b. The second level of tailoring is the project's Software Requirements Mapping Matrix, see NPR 7150.2.
- c. The third level of tailoring is the tailoring by the Software Assurance TA of the Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard requirements that correspond to the project's Software Requirements Mapping Matrix requirements.

4.5.3 The Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard establishes a baseline set of requirements for software assurance, software safety, and IV&V to reduce risks on NASA projects and programs. Each project has unique circumstances, and tailoring can modify the requirements set for software assurance, software safety, and IV&V effort. Determining the tailoring of requirements is a joint software engineering effort and SMA effort, including acceptable technical and programmatic risk posture, Agency priorities, size, and complexity. Requirements can be tailored more broadly across a group of similar projects, programs, organizations, or other collections of similar software development efforts.

4.5.4 Per SWE-121, the software assurance organization maintains a Requirements Mapping Matrix or multiple Requirements Mapping Matrices against requirements in the Software Assurance and Software Safety Standard, including those delegated to other parties or accomplished by contract vehicles. Per SWE-013 and SWE-039, the software assurance organization conducts risk assessment efforts to determine the software assurance, software safety, and IV&V tasks to be performed and the rigor of each task.

4.5.5 The request for relief from a requirement includes the rationale, a risk evaluation, and reference to all material that justifies supporting acceptance. The organization submitting the tailoring request informs the next higher level of involved management of the tailoring request in a timely manner. The dispositioning organization reviews the request with the other organizations that could be impacted or have a potential risk (i.e., to safety, quality, cybersecurity, health) with the proposed changes and obtains the concurrence of those organizations.

4.5.6 If a system or subsystem development evolves to meet a higher or lower software classification defined in NPR 7150.2, the software assurance, software safety, and IV&V organizations shall update their plan(s) to fulfill the applicable requirements per the Requirements Mapping Matrix and any approved changes and initiate adjustments to applicable contracts to meet the modified requirements.

4.5.7 The responsibilities for approving changes to the software engineering requirements are in NPR 7150.2. When the requirement and software class are applicable, the projects record the risk and rationale for any requirement not completely implemented by the project. The projects can document their related mitigations and risk acceptance in the approved Requirements Mapping Matrix.

APPENDIX A. GUIDELINES FOR THE HAZARD DEVELOPMENT INVOLVING SOFTWARE

A.1 Software Contributions to Hazards

A.1.1 Hazard Analysis should consider software’s ability, by design, to cause or control a given hazard. It is a best practice to include the software within the system hazard analysis. The general hazard analysis should consider software common-mode failures that can occur in instances of redundant flight computers running the same software.

A.1.2 Software safety analysis supplements the system hazard analysis by assessing the software performing critical functions serving as a hazard cause or control. A typical software safety analysis process identifies the must work and must not work functions in the hazard reports. The system hazard analysis and software safety analysis process should assess each function for compliance with the levied functional software requirements. The system hazard analysis and software safety analysis also assure the redundancy management performed by the software supports fault tolerance requirements.

A.1.3 The second part of the safety review should complete the design analysis portion of software safety analysis. The software safety analysis supports a requirements gap analysis to identify gaps (SWE-184) and ensure the risk and control strategy documented in hazard reports is correct, as stated. The system hazards analysis and software safety analysis support test plans' analysis to assure adequate off-nominal scenarios (SWE-62, SWE-65). Finally, the system hazards analysis should verify the final implementation and uphold the analysis by ensuring test results permit the closure of hazard verifications (SWE-68).

A.1.4 Considerations when identifying software causes in a general software-centric hazard analysis are found in Table 2 below.

Table 2. Additional considerations to consider when identifying software causes in hazard analysis

Software Cause Areas to Consider	Potential Software Causes
Data errors	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Asynchronous communications 2. Single or double event upset/bit flip or hardware induced error 3. Communication to/from an unexpected system on the network 4. An out-of-range input value, a value above or below the range 5. Start-up or hardware initiation data errors 6. Data from an antenna gets corrupted 7. Failure of software interface to memory 8. Failure of flight software to suppress outputs from a failed component 9. Failure of software to monitor bus controller rates to ensure communication with all remote terminals on the bus schedule's avionics buses 10. Ground or onboard database error 11. Interface error

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Software Cause Areas to Consider	Potential Software Causes
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 12. Latent data 13. Communication bus overload 14. Missing or failed integrity checks on inputs, failure to check the validity of input/output data 15. Excessive network traffic/babbling node - keeps the network so busy it inhibits communication from other nodes 16. Sensors or actuators stuck at some value 17. Wrong software state for the input
Commanding errors	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Command buffer error or overflow 2. Corrupted software load 3. Error in real-time command build or sequence build 4. Failure to command during hazardous operations 5. Failure to perform prerequisite checks before the execution of safety-critical software commands 6. Ground or onboard database error for the command structure 7. Error in command data introduced by command server error 8. Incorrect operator input commands 9. Wrong command or a miscalculated command sent 10. Sequencing error, failure to issue commands in the correct sequence 11. Command sent in wrong software state or software in an incorrect or unanticipated state 12. An incorrect timestamp on the command 13. Missing software error handling on incorrect commands 14. Status messages on command execution not provided 15. Memory corruption, critical data variables overwritten in memory 16. Inconsistent syntax 17. Inconsistent command options 18. Similarly named commands 19. Inconsistent error handling rules 20. Incorrect automated command sequence built into script containing single commands that can remove multiple inhibits to a hazard
Flight computer errors	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Board support package software error 2. Boot load software error 3. Boot Programmable Read-Only Memory (PROM) corruption preventing reset 4. Buffer overrun 5. CPU overload 6. Cycle jitter 7. Cycle over-run 8. Deadlock 9. Livelock 10. Reset during program upload (PROM corruption) 11. Reset with no restart 12. Single or double event upset/bit flip or hardware induced error

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Software Cause Areas to Consider	Potential Software Causes
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 13. Time to reset greater than time to failure 14. Unintended persistent data/configuration on reset 15. Watchdog active during reboot causing infinite boot loop 16. Watchdog failure 17. Failure to detect and transition to redundant or backup computer 18. Incorrect or stale data in redundant or backup computer
Operating systems errors	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Application software incompatibility with upgrades/patches to an operating system 2. Defects in Real-Time Operating System (RTOS) Board Support software 3. Missing or incorrect software error handling 4. Partitioning errors 5. Shared resource errors 6. Single or double event upset/bit flip 7. Unexpected operating system software response to user input 8. Excessive functionality 9. Missing function 10. Wrong function 11. Inadequate protection against operating system bugs 12. Unexpected and aberrant software behavior
Programmable logic device errors	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. High cyclomatic complexity levels (above 15) 2. Errors in programming and simulation tools used for Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) development 3. Errors in the programmable logic device interfaces 4. Errors in the logic design 5. Missing software error handling in the logic design 6. PLC logic/sequence error 7. Single or double event upset/bit flip or hardware induced error 8. Timing errors 9. Unexpected operating system software response to user input 10. Excessive functionality 11. Missing function 12. Wrong function 13. Unexpected and aberrant software behavior
Flight system time management errors	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Incorrect data latency/sampling rates 2. Failure to terminate/complete process in a given time 3. Incorrect time sync 4. Latent data (Data delayed or not provided in required time) 5. Mission elapsed time timing issues and distribution 6. Incorrect function execution, performing a function at the wrong time, out of sequence, or when the program is in the wrong state 7. Race conditions 8. The software cannot respond to an off-nominal condition within the time needed to prevent a hazardous event

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Software Cause Areas to Consider	Potential Software Causes
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 9. Time function runs fast/slow 10. Time skips (e.g., Global Positioning System time correction) 11. Loss or incorrect time sync across flight system components 12. Loss or incorrect time Synchronization between ground and spacecraft Interfaces 13. Unclear software timing requirements 14. Asynchronous systems or components 15. Deadlock conditions 16. Livelocks conditions
Coding, logic, and algorithm failures, algorithm specification errors	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Auto-coding errors as a cause 2. Bad configuration data/no checks on external input files and data 3. Division by zero 4. Wrong sign 5. Syntax errors 6. Error coding software algorithm 7. Error in positioning algorithm 8. Case/type/conversion error/unit mismatch 9. Buffer overflows 10. High cyclomatic complexity levels (above 15) 11. Dead code or unused code 12. Endless do loops 13. Erroneous outputs 14. Failure of flight computer software to transition to or operate in a correct mode or state 15. Failure to check safety-critical outputs for reasonableness and hazardous values and correct timing 16. Failure to generate a process error upon detection of arithmetic error (such as divide-by-zero) 17. Failure to create a software error log report when an unexpected event occurs 18. Inadvertent memory modification 19. Incorrect "if-then" and incorrect "else" 20. Missing default case in a switch statement 21. Incorrect implementation of a software change, software defect, or software non-conformance 22. Incorrect number of functions or mathematical iteration 23. Incorrect software operation if no commands are received or if a loss of commanding capability exists (inability to issue commands) 24. Insufficient or poor coding reviews, inadequate software peer reviews 25. Insufficient use of coding standards 26. Interface errors 27. Missing or inadequate static analysis checks on code 28. Missing or incorrect parameter range and boundary checking

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Software Cause Areas to Consider	Potential Software Causes
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 29. Non-functional loops 30. Overflow or underflow in the calculation 31. Precision mismatch 32. Resource contention (e.g., thrashing: two or more processes accessing a shared resource) 33. Rounding or truncation fault 34. Sequencing error (e.g., failure to issue commands in the correct sequence) 35. Software is initialized to an unknown state; failure to properly initialize all system and local variables are upon startup, including clocks 36. Too many or too few parameters for the called function 37. Undefined or non-initialized data 38. Untested COTS, MOTS, or reused code 39. Incomplete end-to-end testing 40. Incomplete or missing software stress test 41. Errors in the data dictionary or data dictionary processes 42. Confusing feature names 43. More than one name for the same feature 44. Repeated code modules 45. Failure to initialize a loop-control 46. Failure to initialize (or reinitialize) pointers 47. Failure to initialize (or reinitialize) registers 48. Failure to clear a flag 49. Scalability errors 50. Unexpected new behavior or defects introduced in newer or updated COTS modules 51. Not addressing pointer closure
Fault tolerance and fault management errors	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Missing software error handling 2. Missing or incorrect fault detection logic 3. Missing or incorrect fault recovery logic 4. Problems with the execution of emergency safing operations 5. Failure to halt all hazard functions after an interlock failure 6. The software cannot respond to an off-nominal condition within the time needed to prevent a hazardous event 7. Common mode software faults 8. A hazard causal factor occurrence isn't detected 9. False positives in fault detection algorithms 10. Failure to perform prerequisite checks before the execution of safety-critical software commands 11. Failure to terminate/complete process in a given time 12. Memory corruption, critical data variables overwritten in memory 13. Single or double event upset/bit flip or hardware induced error 14. Incorrect interfaces, errors in interfaces

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Software Cause Areas to Consider	Potential Software Causes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 15. Missing self-test capabilities 16. Failing to consider stress on the hardware 17. Incomplete end-to-end testing 18. Incomplete or missing software stress test 19. Errors in the data dictionary or data dictionary processes 20. Failure to provide or ensure secure access for input data, commanding, and software modifications
Software process errors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Failure to implement software development processes or implementing inadequate processes 2. Inadequate software assurance support and reviews 3. Missing or inadequate software assurance audits 4. Failure to follow the documented software development processes 5. Missing, tailored, or incomplete implementation of the safety-critical software requirements in NPR 7150.2 6. Missing, tailored, or incomplete implementation of the safety-critical software requirements in Space Station Program 50038, Computer-Based Control System Safety Requirements 7. Incorrect or incomplete testing 8. Inadequate testing of reused or heritage software 9. Failure to open a software problem report when an unexpected event occurs 10. Failure to include hardware personnel in reviews of software changes, software implementation, peer reviews, and software testing 11. Failure to perform a safety review on all software changes and software defects 12. Defects in COTS, MOTS, or OSS Software, 13. Failure to perform assessments of available bug fixes and updates available in COTS software 14. Insufficient use of coding standards 15. Missing or inadequate static analysis checks on code 16. Incorrect version loaded 17. Incorrect configuration values or data 18. No checks on external input files and data 19. Errors in configuration data changes being uploaded to spacecraft 20. Software/avionics simulator/emulator errors and defects 21. Unverified software 22. High cyclomatic complexity levels (over 15) 23. Incomplete or inadequate software requirements analysis 24. Compound software requirements 25. Incomplete or inadequate software hazard analysis 26. Incomplete or inadequate software safety analysis 27. Incomplete or inadequate software test data analysis

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Software Cause Areas to Consider	Potential Software Causes
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 28. Unrecorded software defects found during informal and formal software testing 29. Auto-coding tool faults and defects 30. Errors in design models 31. Software errors in hardware simulators due to a lack of understanding of hardware requirements 32. Incomplete or inadequate software test data analysis 33. Inadequate built-in-test coverage 34. Inadequate regression testing and unit test coverage of flight software application-level source code 35. Failure to test all nominal and planned contingency scenarios (breakout and re-rendezvous, launch abort) and complete mission duration (launch to docking to splashdown) in the hardware in the loop environment 36. Incomplete testing of unexpected conditions, boundary conditions, and software/interface inputs 37. Use of persistence of test data, files, or config files in an operational scenario 38. Failure to provide multiple paths or triggers from safe states to hazardous states 39. Interface control documents and interface requirements documents errors 40. System requirements errors 41. Misunderstanding of hardware configuration and operation 42. Hardware requirements and interface errors, Incorrect description of the software/hardware functions and how they are to perform 43. Missing or incorrect software requirements or specifications 44. Missing software error handling 45. Requirements/design errors not fully defined, detected, and corrected) 46. Failure to identify the safety-critical software items 47. Failure to perform a function, performing the wrong function, performing the function incompletely 48. An inadvertent/unauthorized event, an unexpected, unwanted event, an out-of-sequence event, the failure of a planned event to occur 49. The magnitude or direction of an event is wrong 50. Out-of-sequence event protection 51. Multiple events/actions trigger simultaneously (when not expected) 52. Error or exception handling missing or incomplete 53. Inadvertent or incorrect mode transition for required vehicle functional operation; undefined or incorrect mode transition criteria; unauthorized mode transition 54. Failure of flight software to correctly initiate proper transition mode

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Software Cause Areas to Consider	Potential Software Causes
	55. Software state transition error 56. Software termination is an unknown state 57. Errors in the software data dictionary values
Human-machine interface errors	1. Incorrect data (unit conversion, incorrect variable type) 2. Stale data 3. Poor design of human machine interface 4. Too much, too little, incorrect data displayed 5. Ambiguous or incorrect messages 6. User display locks up/fails 7. Missing software error handling 8. Unsolicited command (command issued inadvertently, cybersecurity issue, or without cause) 9. Wrong command or a miscalculated command sent 10. Failure to display information or messages to a user 11. Display refresh rate leads to an incorrect operator response 12. Lack of ordering scheme for hazardous event queues (such as alerts) in the human-computer interface (i.e., priority versus time of arrival, for example, when an abort must go to the top of the queue) 13. Incorrect labeling of operator controls in the human interface software 14. Failure to check for constraints in algorithms/specifications and valid boundaries 15. Failure of human interface software to check operator inputs 16. Failure to pass along information or messages 17. No onscreen instructions 18. Undocumented features 19. States that appear impossible to exit 20. No cursor 21. Failure to acknowledge an input 22. Failure to advise when a change takes effect 23. Wrong, misleading, or confusing information 24. Poor aesthetics in the screen layout 25. Menu layout errors 26. Dialog box layout errors 27. Obscured instructions 28. Misuse of color 29. Failure to allow tabbing navigation to edit fields (mouse only input)
Security and virus errors	1. Denial or interruption of service 2. Spoofed or jammed inputs 3. Missing capabilities to detect insider threat activities 4. Inadvertent or intentional memory modification 5. Inadvertent or unplanned mode transition 6. Missing software error handling or detect handling 7. Unsolicited command

NASA-STD-8739.8B

Software Cause Areas to Consider	Potential Software Causes
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. Stack-based buffer overflows 9. Heap-based attacks 10. Cybersecurity vulnerability or computer virus 11. Inadvertent access to ground system software 12. Destruct commands incorrectly allowed in a hands-off zone 13. Communication to/from an unexpected system on the network
Unknown Unknowns errors	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Undetected software defects 2. Unknown limitations for COTS (operational, environmental, stress) 3. COTS extra capabilities 4. Incomplete or inadequate software safety analysis for COTS components 5. Compiler behavior errors or undefined compiler behavior 6. Software defects and investigations that are unresolved before the flight